arXiv:1704.05713v1 [math.AG] 19 Apr 2017

FINITE GENERATION OF EXTENSIONS OF ASSOCIATED GRADED RINGS ALONG A VALUATION

STEVEN DALE CUTKOSKY

Abstract. In this paper we consider the question of when the associated graded ring along a valuation, $\mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(S)$, is a finite $\mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(R)$-module, where S is a normal local ring which lies over a normal local ring R and ν^* is a valuation of the quotient field of S which dominates S. We obtain a very general result in equicharacteristic zero in Theorem 1.5. We also obtain general results for unramified extensions of excellent local rings in Proposition 1.7.

1. Introduction

Suppose that K is a field. Associated to a valuation ν of K is a value group Φ_ν and a valuation ring V_ν with maximal ideal m_ν. Let R be a local domain with quotient field K. We say that ν dominates R if $R \subset V_\nu$ and $m_\nu \cap R = m_R$ where m_R is the maximal ideal of R. We have an associated semigroup $S^R(\nu) = \{\nu(f) \mid f \in R\}$, as well as the associated graded ring of R along ν

$$\mathrm{gr}_\nu(R) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Phi_\nu} \mathcal{P}_\gamma(R)/\mathcal{P}^+_\gamma(R) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in S^R(\nu)} \mathcal{P}_\gamma(R)/\mathcal{P}^+_\gamma(R) \tag{1}$$

which is defined by Teissier in [36]. Here

$$\mathcal{P}_\gamma(R) = \{f \in R \mid \nu(f) \geq \gamma\} \text{ and } \mathcal{P}^+_\gamma(R) = \{f \in R \mid \nu(f) > \gamma\}.$$

This ring plays an important role in local uniformization of singularities ([36] and [37]). The ring $\mathrm{gr}_\nu(R)$ is a domain, but it is often not Noetherian, even when R is.

Some recent papers on valuation theory, local uniformization and resolution of singularities in positive characteristic are: Cossart and Piltant [10] and [11], Ghezzi, Há and Kashcheyeva [22], Ghezzi and Kashcheyeva [23], Herrera Govantes, Olalla Acosta, Spivakovsky and Teissier [27], Knaf and Kehlmann [29], Kuhlmann [30], [31] and [32], Novacoski and Spivakovsky [34], Spivakovsky [35], Teissier [36] and [37], Tempkin [38] and Vaquié [39]. Some recent papers on resolution of singularities in positive characteristic are: Benito and Villamayor [6], Bravo and Villamayor [7], Cossart, Jannsen and Saito [9], Hauser [26] and Hironaka [28].

Suppose that $K \to K^*$ is a finite extension of fields and ν^* is a valuation which is an extension of ν to K^*. We have the classical indices

$$e(\nu^*/\nu) = [\Phi_{\nu^*} : \Phi_\nu] \text{ and } f(\nu^*/\nu) = [V_{\nu^*}/m_{\nu^*} : V_\nu/m_\nu]$$

as well as the defect $\delta(\nu^*/\nu)$ of the extension. Ramification of valuations and the defect are discussed in Chapter VI of [41], [20] and Kuhlmann's papers [30] and [32]. A survey

partially supported by NSF.

is given in Section 7.1 of [17]. By Ostrowski's lemma, if ν^* is the unique extension of ν to K^*, we have that

$$[K^* : K] = e(\nu^*/\nu)f(\nu^*/\nu)p^{\delta(\nu^*/\nu)} \tag{2}$$

where p is the characteristic of the residue field V_ν/m_ν. From this formula, the defect can be computed using Galois theory in an arbitrary finite extension. If V_ν/m_ν has characteristic 0, then $\delta(\nu^*/\nu) = 0$ and $p^{\delta(\nu^*/\nu)} = 1$, so there is no defect. Further, if $\Phi_\nu = \mathbb{Z}$ and K^* is separable over K then there is no defect.

Now suppose that $K \to K^*$ is a finite separable field extension and ν^* is a valuation of K^* with restriction ν to K. Suppose that R and S are normal, excellent local rings with quotient field $\mathrm{QF}(R) = K$ of R and quotient field $\mathrm{QF}(S) = K^*$ of S. Suppose that S dominates R and ν^* dominates S. We have the following basic question:

Question 1.1. *When is* $\mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(S)$ *a finitely generated* $\mathrm{gr}_\nu(R)$*-algebra?*

We will see that Question 1.1 has a good general answer, but we must refine the question, which we will do by consideration of examples.

In Corollary 6 [15], it is shown that if $K = K^*$, R and S are two dimensional excellent regular local rings with $R \neq S$, and ν is not an Abhyankar valuation, then $\mathrm{gr}_\nu(S)$ is not a finitely generated $\mathrm{gr}_\nu(R)$-algebra. Thus to obtain a good answer to Question 1.1 for general valuations, we must restrict to the case that S lies over R (S is the local ring which is the localization of the integral closure of R in K^* that is dominated by ν^*).

An algebraic local ring A in an algebraic function field L over a field k is a local ring which is a localization of a finite type k-algebra such that $\mathrm{QF}(A) = L$. Now it can happen, even when S lies over R and R and S are algebraic regular local rings in two dimensional algebraic function fields over an arbitrary field, that $\mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(S)$ is not a finitely generated $\mathrm{gr}_\nu(R)$-algebra (Example 9.4 [18] and Example 1.2 [13]).

However, if R has dimension two and contains a field k of characteristic zero, then there exists a regular local ring R_1 which dominates R and is dominated by ν such that if S_1 is the normal local ring of K^* which lies over R_1 and is dominated by ν^*, then $\mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(S_1)$ is a finite $\mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(R_1)$-module. Further, this property is stable under further blowing up ([22], [19] and [14]).

Suppose that S lies over R. Then it may be that $\mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(S)$ is not integral over $\mathrm{gr}_\nu(R)$ (Example 1.1 [13]), even when K and K^* are algebraic function fields over an arbitrary field k. However, after some blowing up $R \to R_1$ along ν, we obtain that $\mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(S_1)$ is integral over $\mathrm{gr}_\nu(R_1)$, where S_1 is the normal algebraic local ring of K^* which is dominated by ν^* and lies over R_1 (Theorem 1.4 [13]). This explains the finiteness of $\mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(S_1)$ over $\mathrm{gr}_\nu(S_1)$ in the two dimensional result cited above from [22], [19], [14].

Suppose that R_1 is a local domain with quotient field K. We will say that R_1 is a birational extension of R if R_1 dominates R and R_1 is a localization of a finite type R-algebra. This leads us to a refinement of Question 1.1:

Question 1.2. *Does there exist a birational extension* $R \to R_1$ *such that* R_1 *is normal and* ν *dominates* R_1 *such that* $\mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(S_1)$ *is a finitely generated* $\mathrm{gr}_\nu(R_1)$*-module, where* S_1 *is the normal local ring with quotient field* K^* *which lies over* R_1 *and is dominated by* ν^**?*

In Section 7.11 [17] (recalled in Example 1.3 [13]) an example is given in a separable extension $K \to K^*$ of two dimensional algebraic function fields over an algebraically closed field k of positive characteristic p such that $\mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(S_1)$ is not a finitely generated $\mathrm{gr}_\nu(R_1)$-algebra for all regular local rings R_1 birationally dominating R which are dominated by ν.

This example has positive defect $\delta(\nu^*/\nu) > 0$. This example is not sporadic, but illustrates a general principal in dimension two which we now state.

Theorem 1.3. *(Theorem 0.1* [15]*) Suppose that R is a 2 dimensional excellent local domain with quotient field K. Further suppose that K^* is a finite separable extension of K and S is a 2 dimensional local domain with quotient field K^* such that S dominates R. Suppose that ν^* is a valuation of K^* such that ν^* dominates S. Let ν be the restriction of ν^* to K. Then the extension $(K,\nu) \rightarrow (K^*,\nu^*)$ is without defect if and only if there exist regular local rings R_1 and S_1 such that R_1 is a local ring of a blow up of R, S_1 is a local ring of a blowup of S, ν^* dominates S_1, S_1 dominates R_1 and $\mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(S_1)$ is a finitely generated $\mathrm{gr}_\nu(R_1)$-algebra.*

Thus to obtain a good answer to Question 1.2 for general valuations, we must assume that $K \rightarrow K^*$ is defectless ($\delta(\nu^*/\nu) = 0$). This leads us to our final formulation of the question.

Question 1.4. *Suppose that $K \rightarrow K^*$ is defectless. Does there exist a birational extension $R \rightarrow R_1$ such that R_1 is normal and ν dominates R_1, and $\mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(S_1)$ is a finitely generated $\mathrm{gr}_\nu(R_1)$-module, where S_1 is the normal local ring with quotient field K^* which lies over R_1 and is dominated by ν^*?*

Question 1.4 has a positive answer when R is assumed to have dimension two, as follows from results of [22], [23], [17] and [14]. In this paper we give a positive answer to Question 1.4 in algebraic function fields of arbitrary dimension over an arbitrary field of characteristic zero. We prove the following theorem in Section 7.

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose that K is an algebraic function field over a field k of characteristic zero and K^* is a finite extension of K. Suppose that ν^* is a k-valuation of K^* ($\nu^*(k\setminus 0) = 0$). Let ν be the restriction of ν^* to K, and suppose that $\tilde{R}$ is an algebraic local ring of K which is dominated by ν. Then there exists an algebraic regular local ring R of K which dominates $\tilde{R}$ and is dominated by ν such that if S is the local ring of the integral closure of R in K^* which is dominated by ν^*, then $\mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(S)$ is a free $\mathrm{gr}_\nu(R)$-module of finite rank ef where $e = [\Phi_{\nu^*}/\Phi_\nu]$ and $f = [V_{\nu^*}/m_{\nu^*} : V_\nu/m_\nu]$.*

We deduce the following proposition.

Proposition 1.6. *Let assumptions and conclusions be as in Theorem 1.5. Further assume that K contains an algebraically closed field k' such that $k' \cong V_\nu/m_\nu$. Then $\Gamma_{\nu^*}/\Gamma_\nu$ acts on $\mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(S)$ with $\mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(S)^{\Gamma_{\nu^*}/\Gamma_\nu} \cong \mathrm{gr}_\nu(R)$.*

Theorem 1.5 generalizes Theorem 1.6 [13], which establishes Theorem 1.6 [13] with the restrictions that ν^* has rank 1, k is algebraically closed and $V_{\nu^*}/m_{\nu^*} \cong k$. The proof in [13] relies on the fact that for a valuation ν of K of rank 1 dominating R, there is a natural extension $\hat{\nu}$ of ν to the quotient field of the completion $\hat{R}$ which dominates $\hat{R}$, such that the value group is not very different from that of ν ([35], [16]). After blowing up to obtain that R is regular and the discriminant ideal of $R \rightarrow K^*$ is generated by a monomial in a regular system of parameters $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ in R, the Abhyankar Jung Theorem [1] gives an inclusion of k-algebras (assuming k is algebraically closed of characteristic zero)

$$\hat{R} = k[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]] \rightarrow \hat{S} \rightarrow k[[x_1^{\frac{1}{d}}, \ldots, x_n^{\frac{1}{d}}]]$$

such that $\hat{S}$ is the invariant ring of a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_d^n$. Then using the strong monomialization theorem of [12] and [17], after blowing up some more to obtain a monomial map

which captures the invariants of the extension of valuations, we show that there is a set of generators of $\hat{S}$ as an $\hat{R}$-module whose values are a complete set of representatives of the cosets of Φ_ν in Φ_{ν^*}, from which the conclusions of Theorem 1.5 follow, in the case that ν has rank 1 and $k = V_{\nu^*}/m_{\nu^*}$ is algebraically closed (of characteristic zero).

The significant difficulty in extending this proof to the general case of the statement of Theorem 1.5 is when ν has arbitrary rank. In this case the structure of an extension $\hat{\nu}$ of ν to a valuation dominating $\hat{R}$ is not well understood, although the structure is known to be complicated ([27]). In fact, even under a finite unramified extension the semigroup of a valuation of rank > 1 can increase, as shown in the example at the end of Section 5, although the value groups will stay the same.

We prove the following proposition on the extension of associated graded rings under an unramified extension.

Proposition 1.7. *Suppose that R and S are normal local rings such that R is excellent, S lies over R and S is unramified over R, $\tilde{\nu}$ is a valuation of the quotient field L of S which dominates S, and ν is the restriction of $\tilde{\nu}$ to the quotient field K of R. Suppose that L is finite separable over K. Then there exists a normal local ring R', which is a birational extension of R and is dominated by ν such that if R'' is a normal local ring which is a birational extension of R' and is dominated by ν and S'' is the normal local ring of L which is dominated by $\tilde{\nu}$ and lies over R'', then $R'' \rightarrow S''$ is unramified, and*

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\tilde{\nu}}(S'') \cong \mathrm{gr}_\nu(R'') \otimes_{R''/m_{R''}} S''/m_{S''}.$$

As remarked above, we give an example at the end of Section 5 showing that a birational extension of R may be necessary to obtain the conclusions of Proposition 1.7.

We use ramification theory and Proposition 1.7 to reduce the proof of Theorem 1.5 to the case when ν^* is the unique extension of ν to K^* and we have an equality of residue fields $V_{\nu^*}/m_{\nu^*} = V_\nu/m_\nu$. We derive in Theorem 7.1 an extension of the strong monomialization theorem of [12] and [17], which allows us to find, after some blowups along the valuation to capture in $R \rightarrow S$ invariants of the extension of valuations, a set of generators of S as an R-module whose values are a complete set of representatives of the cosets of Φ_ν in Φ_{ν^*}, from which Theorem 1.5 follows.

2. NOTATIONS

We will denote the maximal ideal of a local ring R by m_R. We will denote the quotient field of a domain R by $QF(R)$. Suppose that $R \subset S$ is an inclusion of local rings. We will say that R dominates S if $m_S \cap R = m_R$. Suppose that $\tilde{R}$ is a local domain with quotient field K. We will say that $\tilde{R}$ is a birational extension of R if $\tilde{R}$ dominates R and $\tilde{R}$ is a localization of a finite type R-algebra. Suppose that K^* is a finite extension of a field K, R is a local ring with quotient field $QF(R) = K$ and S is a local ring with quotient field $QF(S) = K^*$. Suppose that R and S are normal. We will say that S lies over R and R lies below S if S is a localization at a maximal ideal of the integral closure of R in K^*. If R is a local ring, $\hat{R}$ will denote the completion of R at its maximal ideal. If M is a finite field extension of a field L, we will denote the group of L-automorphisms of M by $\mathrm{Aut}(M/L)$. If M is Galois extension of L we will write $G(M/L) = \mathrm{Aut}(M/L)$.

Good introductions to the valuation theory which we require in this paper can be found in Chapter VI of [41] and in [4]. Let ν be a valuation of a field K. We will denote by V_ν the associated valuation ring, and the maximal ideal of V_ν by m_ν. The value group of a valuation ν will be denoted by Φ_ν.

Let $\mathrm{gr}_\nu(R)$ be the associated graded ring of R along ν defined in (1). For $f \in R$, let the initial form $\mathrm{in}_\nu(f) \in \mathrm{gr}_\nu(R)$ be the class of f in $\mathcal{P}_{\nu(f)}(R)/\mathcal{P}^+_{\mu(f)}(R)$.

Suppose that R is a local domain. A monoidal transform $R \rightarrow R_1$ is a birational extension of local domains such that $R_1 = R[\frac{P}{x}]_m$ where P is a prime ideal of R such that R/P is regular, $0 \neq x \in P$ and m is a prime ideal of $R[\frac{P}{x}]$ such that $m \cap R = m_R$. $R \rightarrow R_1$ is called a quadratic transform if $P = m_R$.

If R is regular, and $R \rightarrow R_1$ is a monoidal transform, then there exists a regular system of parameters $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ in R and $r \leq n$ such that

$$R_1 = R\left[\frac{x_2}{x_1}, \ldots, \frac{x_r}{x_1}\right]_m.$$

Suppose that ν is a valuation of the quotient field of R with valuation ring V_ν which dominates R. Then $R \rightarrow R_1$ is a monoidal transform along ν (along V_ν) if ν dominates R_1.

We will use the following properties of an excellent ring R (from Scholie IV.7.8.3 [24]). If R' is a localization of a finite type R-algebra then R' is excellent. If R is a domain and R' is the integral closure of R in a finite field extension of the quotient field of R then R' is a finite R-module. If R is a normal local ring, then its completion $\hat{R}$ is a normal local ring.

If Λ is a subset of a group H, then we will denote the group generated by Λ by $G(\Lambda)$.

3. Associated graded rings in splitting fields and inertia fields

We now introduce some notation which we will use throughout this section. Suppose that K is a field with a valuation ν, and that ν dominates an excellent normal local ring R which has K as its quotient field. Let V_ν be the valuation ring of ν with maximal ideal m_ν. Let r be the rank of ν, which is finite since ν dominates the Noetherian local ring R (by Proposition 1, page 330 [41]). Let

$$0 = \Phi_r \subset \Phi_{r-1} \subset \cdots \subset \Phi_1 \subset \Phi_0 = \Phi_\nu$$

be the chain of isolated subgroups of the value group Φ_ν of ν. Let

$$0 = I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \cdots \subset I_r = m_\nu$$

be the chain of prime ideals in V_ν. The Φ_i are related to the I_i as follows. Let $U_i = \{\nu(a) \mid a \in I_i\}$. Then

$$\Phi_i \text{is the complement of } U_i \text{ and } -U_i \text{ in } \Phi_\nu. \tag{3}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq r$, let ν_i be the specializations of ν; the valuation ring of ν_i is $V_{\nu_i} = (V_\nu)_{I_i}$ and the value group of ν_i is Φ_0/Φ_i. We have that $\nu_r = \nu$.

If A is a subring of V_ν, then the centers of the the specializations of V_ν is the chain of prime ideals

$$0 = I_0 \cap A \subset I_1 \cap A \subset \cdots \subset I_r \cap A = m_\nu \cap A.$$

Let K^* be a finite extension field of K, and let ν^* be an extension of ν to K^*. Let $\nu^*_1 = \nu^*, \nu^*_2, \ldots, \nu^*_a$ be all of the extensions of ν to K^*. Let

$$0 = I^*_{i.0} \subset I^*_{i,1} \subset \cdots \subset I^*_{i,r} = m_{\nu^*_i}$$

be the chain of prime ideals in $V_{\nu^*_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq a$. Let $\nu^*_{j,i}$ be the specializations of $V_{\nu^*_j}$, with valuation rings $V_{\nu^*_{j,i}} = (V_{\nu^*_j})_{I^*_{j,i}}$. Let

$$0 = \Phi^*_r \subset \cdots \subset \Phi^*_1 \subset \Phi^*_0 = \Phi_{\nu^*}$$

be the isolated subgroups of the value group Φ_{ν^*} of ν^*. The value group of $V_{\nu^*_{1,i}}$ is Φ^*_0/Φ^*_i.

Define a chain of prime ideals in R by

$$0 = P_0 = I_0 \cap R \subset P_1 = I_1 \cap R \subset \cdots \subset P_r = I_r \cap R = m_R. \tag{4}$$

We have that $P_j = I^*_{i,j} \cap R$ for $1 \leq i \leq a$ and $0 \leq j \leq r$.

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a normal local ring R' which birationally dominates R, such that ν dominates R', and if R'' is a normal local ring which birationally dominates R' and is dominated by ν, then the prime ideals $I_i \cap R''$ are all distinct, and*

$$\mathrm{trdeg}_{\mathrm{QF}(R''/R''\cap I_i)}\mathrm{QF}(V_\nu/I_i) = 0$$

for all i.

Proof. Let $I_{r+1} = V_\nu$. We have that $\mathrm{trdeg}_{\mathrm{QF}(R/R\cap I_i)}\mathrm{QF}(V_\nu/I_i) < \infty$ for all i by Proposition 1, page 330 [41]. Thus there exist $z_{1,j}, \ldots, z_{\alpha_j,j} \in I_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq r+1$ such that for $0 \leq i \leq r$,

$$z_{1,i+1}, z_{2,i+1}, \ldots, z_{\alpha_{i+1},i+1}, z_{1,i+2}, \ldots, z_{\alpha_{r+1},r+1}$$

is a transcendence basis of $(V_\nu/I_i)_{I_i}$ over $\mathrm{QF}(R/R\cap I_i)$. Let A be the integral closure of

$$R[z_{i,j} | 1 \leq j \leq r+1, 1 \leq i \leq \alpha_j]$$

in K, and let $R' = A_{A\cap m_\nu}$. Then R' satisfies the conclusions of the lemma. □

After replacing R with R', we may assume that $R = R'$ satisfies the conclusions of Lemma 3.1.

Let T be the integral closure of R in K^* and let $m_j = T \cap m_{\nu^*_j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq a$ and $m = m_1$. The ring T is a finite R-module since R is excellent.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that*

$$Q_0 \subset Q_1 \subset \cdots \subset Q_r \tag{5}$$

is a chain of prime ideals in T lying over the chain (4). Then there exists a valuation ν' of K^* which extends ν such that (5) is the chain of prime ideals in T which are the centers of the specializations of $V_{\nu'}$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on the rank r of ν. If $r = 1$, this follows from Theorem 13, page 31 [41]. Suppose that the statement of the lemma is true for valuations of rank $< r$. We have that ν is the composition $\nu = \nu_{r-1} \circ \overline{\nu}$ where $V_{\nu_{r-1}} = (V_\nu)_{I_{r-1}}$ and $\overline{\nu}$ is a valuation of the field $\Delta_{r-1} = (V_\nu/I_{r-1})_{I_{r-1}}$. We have that the rank of ν_{r-1} is $r-1$, so applying the induction assumption to $R_{P_{r-1}}$ and its integral closure $T \otimes_R R_{P_{r-1}}$ in K^*, there exists an extension of ν_{r-1} to a valuation ν'_{r-1} of K^* such that

$$(Q_0)_{P_{r-1}} \subset \cdots \subset (Q_{r-1})_{P_{r-1}}$$

are the centers of the specializations of ν'_{r-1} on $T \otimes_R R_{P_{r-1}}$. Thus the centers of the specializations of ν'_{r-1} on T are

$$Q_0 \subset Q_1 \subset \cdots \subset Q_{r-1}.$$

By Theorem 13, page 31 [41], there exists an extension $\overline{\nu}'$ of $\overline{\nu}$ to $V_{\nu'_{r-1}}/m_{\nu'_{r-1}}$ such that $\overline{\nu}'$ has center Q_r/Q_{r-1} on T/Q_{r-1}. By Lemma 4, page 53 [41], $\nu' = \nu'_{r-1} \circ \overline{\nu}'$ is an extension of ν to K^* which has the desired properties. □

From now on in this section, assume that K^* is a Galois extension of K. Decomposition and inertia groups are defined and analyzed in Section 12, Chapter VI of [41] and in Sections 7 and 11 of [4].

Lemma 3.3. *We have that the decomposition groups $G^s(V_{\nu_j^*}/V_\nu) \subset G^s(T_{m_j}/R)$ and*

$$G^s(V_{\nu_j^*}/V_\nu) = G^s(T_{m_j}/R)$$

if and only if ν_j^* is the unique extension of ν to K^* which dominates T_{m_j}.

Proof. Certainly $G^s(V_{\nu_j^*}/V_\nu) \subset G^s(T_{m_j}/R)$ since $m_{\nu_j^*} \cap T_{m_j} = m_{T_{m_j}}$. Suppose that ν' is an extension of ν to K^* which dominates T_{m_j}. Then there exists $\sigma \in G(K^*/K)$ such that $\sigma(V_{\nu_j^*}) = V_{\nu'}$, and we have that $\sigma(T_{m_j}) = T_{m_j}$, so $\sigma \in G^s(T_{m_j}/R)$. Thus $G^s(V_{\nu_j^*}/V_\nu) = G^s(T_{m_j}/R)$ if and only if ν_j^* is the unique extension of ν to K^* which dominates T_{m_j}. □

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that $G^s(T_{m_j}/R) = G^s(V_{\nu_j^*}/V_\nu)$. Then there exists a unique chain of prime ideals $0 = Q_0 \subset Q_1 \subset \cdots \subset Q_r = m_j$ in T such that $Q_i \cap R = P_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq r$. The Q_i are the centers of the specializations of $V_{\nu_j^*}$.*

Proof. Let $0 = Q_0 \subset Q_1 \subset \cdots \subset Q_r = m_j$ be the chain of prime ideals in T which are the centers of the specializations of $V_{\nu_j^*}$. Suppose that

$$0 = Q_0' \subset Q_1' \subset \cdots \subset Q_r' = m_j \tag{6}$$

is a different chain of prime ideals in T which satisfies $Q_i' \cap R = P_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq r$. By Lemma 3.2, there exists an extension ν' of ν to K^* such that the chain of centers of the specializations of $V_{\nu'}$ is the chain (6). But ν_j^* is the unique extension of ν to K^* which dominates T_{m_j} by Lemma 3.3, a contradiction. □

Let T^s be the integral closure of R in $K^s = K^{G^s(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu)}$ and let $n_j = m_{\nu_j^*} \cap T^s$ for $1 \leq j \leq a$, $n = n_1$. Let ν_j^s be the restriction of ν_j^* to K^s for $1 \leq j \leq a$, $\nu^s = \nu_1^s$.

We recall a technique to compute norms and traces. Let K' be an intermediate field of the Galois extension $K \to K^*$. Let T' be the integral closure of R in K'. Let $G = G(K^*/K)$ be the Galois group of K^* over K and $G' = G(K^*/K')$ be the Galois group of K^* over K'. Let $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$ be a complete set of representatives of the cosets of G' in G. Then the norm and trace of an element $x \in T'$ of K' over K can be computed (by formulas (19) and (20) on page 91 [40]) as

$$\mathrm{N}(x) = \mathrm{N}_{K'/K}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i(x)$$

and

$$\mathrm{Tr}(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_{K'/K}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i(x).$$

We have that $\mathrm{N}_{K'/K}(x), \mathrm{Tr}_{K'/K}(x) \in R$ by formulas (8) and (9) on page 88 and Theorem 4, page 260 [40].

Let $G = G(K^*/K)$ be the Galois group of K^* over K and let

$$G_{j,i} = G^s(V_{\nu_{j,i}^*}/V_{\nu_i})$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r$. For fixed j, we have inclusions

$$(1) \subset G_{j,r} = G^s(V_{\nu_j^*}/V_\nu) \subset G_{j,r-1} \subset \cdots \subset G_{j,2} \subset G_{j,1} \subset G$$

with a tower of fixed fields

$$K \subset K^{j,1} \subset K^{j,2} \subset \cdots \subset K^{j,r-1} \subset K^{j,r} \subset K^*, \tag{7}$$

where $K^{j,i} = K^{G_{j,i}}$. Let $G_i = G_{1,i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Lemma 3.5. *There exists a birational extension R' of R, where R' is a normal local ring which is dominated by ν, such that if R'' is a normal local ring which is a birational extension of R' which is dominated by ν, then*

$$G_{j,i} = G^s(V_{\nu^*_{j,i}}/V_{\nu_i}) = G^s(C_{I^*_{j,i}\cap C}/R''_{I_i\cap R''})$$

for $1 \leq j \leq a$ and $1 \leq i \leq r$, where C is the integral closure of R'' in K^*.

Proof. For $1 \leq i \leq r$, let $A_i = \cap_j (V_{\nu^*_j})_{I^*_{j,i}}$ be the integral closure of V_{ν_i} in K^*. Let S_i be a subset of $\{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$ such that $1 \in S_i$ and $V_{\nu^*_{j,i}} \neq V_{\nu^*_{j,k}}$ if $i \neq k$. The distinct maximal ideals of A_i are

$$a_{j,i} = A_i \cap m_{V_{\nu^*_{j,i}}} = A_i \cap I^*_{j,i}$$

for $j \in S_i$. By the Chinese remainder theorem, there exist $u_{j,i} \in A_i$ such that

$$u_{j,i} \in a_{j,i} \text{ and } u_{j,i} \notin a_{ki} \text{ if } k \neq j. \tag{8}$$

There exist relations

$$u_{j,i}^{c_{j,i}} + \alpha_{j,i,(c_{j,i}-1)} u_{j,i}^{c_{j,i}-1} + \cdots + \alpha_{j,i,0} = 0$$

with $\alpha_{j,i,k} \in V_{\nu_i}$ for $0 \leq k \leq c_{j,i} - 1$. After replacing $u_{j,i}$ with its product with a suitable element of $V_\nu \setminus I_i$, we may assume that $\alpha_{j,i,k} \in V_\nu$ for all j, i, k. We have that (8) continues to hold.

Let B be the integral closure of

$$R[\alpha_{j,i,k} \mid j \in S_i, 1 \leq i \leq r, 0 \leq k \leq c_{j,i} - 1]$$

in K, and let $R' = B_{m_\nu \cap B}$. Suppose that R'' is a birational extension of R' which is normal and is dominated by ν. Let C be the integral closure of R'' in K^*. Let $b_{j,i} = C \cap a_{j,i} = C \cap I^*_{j,i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $j \in S_i$. Then $u_{j,i} \in b_{j,i}$ and $u_{j,i} \notin b_{k,i}$ for $k \neq j$. Thus $C \cap I^*_{j,i} \neq C \cap I^*_{k,i}$ for $j \neq k$.

Suppose $\sigma \in G(K^*/K)$. Then $\sigma(b_{j,i}) = b_{j,i}$ implies $\sigma(I^*_{j,i}) = I^*_{j,i}$, so that

$$G^s(C_{C\cap I^*_{j,i}}/(R'')_{R''\cap I_i}) \subset G^s(V_{\nu^*_{j,i}}/V_{\nu_i}).$$

Now

$$G^s(V_{\nu^*_{j,i}}/V_{\nu_i}) \subset G^s(C_{C\cap I^*_{j,i}}/(R'')_{R''\cap I_i})$$

since $V_{\nu^*_{j,i}}$ dominates $C_{C\cap I^*_{j,i}}$ and V_{ν_i} dominates $(R'')_{R''\cap I_i}$. □

After replacing R with R', we may assume that $R = R'$ satisfies the conclusions of Lemma 3.5. Then

$$G_{j,i} = G^s(T_{Q_{j,i}}/R_{P_i}) \tag{9}$$

for $j \in S_i$ and $1 \leq i \leq r$, where $Q_{j,i} = T \cap m_{\nu^*_{j,i}}$ for $j \in S_i$.

Lemma 3.6. *For fixed i with $1 \leq i \leq r$, the prime ideals $\{Q^s_{j,i}\}_{j\in S_i}$ in T^s are pairwise coprime, where $Q^s_{j,i} = Q_{j,i} \cap T^s$.*

Proof. Suppose that $j, j \in S_i$ with $j \neq k$ and $Q^s_{j,i}$ and $Q^s_{k,i}$ are not coprime. Then there exists a maximal ideal $Q^s_{l,r}$ of T^s such that $Q^s_{j,i} \subset Q^s_{l,r}$ and $Q^s_{k,i} \subset Q^s_{l,r}$. There exists a maximal ideal $Q_{n,r}$ of T such that $Q_{n,r} \cap T^s = Q_{l,r}$ (by Lemma 1.20, page 13 [4]) and there exist prime ideals $Q_{a,i} \subset Q_{n,r}$ and $Q_{b,i} \subset Q_{n,r}$ such that $Q_{a,i} \cap T^s = Q^s_{j,i}$ and $Q_{b,i} \cap T^s = Q^s_{k,i}$ by the going down theorem (Proposition 1.24B, page 15 [4]). But $Q_{a,i}$ and $Q_{b,i}$ are necessarily distinct, giving a contradiction to the conclusions of Lemma 3.4. $\square$

For $0 \leq i \leq r-1$, let $\sigma^i_1 = \mathrm{id}, \sigma^i_1, \ldots, \sigma^i_{t_i} \in G_i$ be a complete set of representatives of the cosets of G_{i+1} in G_i. Then

$$\sigma^0_{i_0}\sigma^1_{i_1}\cdots\sigma^{r-1}_{i_{r-1}},\ 1 \leq i_j \leq t_j \text{ and } 0 \leq j \leq r-1$$

is a complete set of representatives of the cosets of $G_r = G^s(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu)$ in G.

Suppose i is fixed with $1 \leq i \leq r$. By Lemma 3.6 and the Chinese remainder theorem, given $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $y_i \in T^s$ such that

$$y_i \equiv 1 \mod (Q^s_{1,i})^{n_i} \text{ and } y_i \equiv 0 \mod (Q^s_{j,i})^{n_i} \text{ if } j \in S_i \text{ and } j > 1. \tag{10}$$

By equation (9), (7) and Proposition 1.46 [4], $Q_{1,i}$ is the unique prime ideal of T lying over $Q^s_{1,i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq r$. Thus

$$y_i \equiv 1 \mod Q^{n_i}_{1,i} \text{ and } y_i \equiv 0 \mod Q^{n_i}_{j,i} \text{ if } j \in S_i \text{ and } j > 1.$$

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose that*

$$\tau = \sigma^0_1\sigma^1_1\cdots\sigma^{k-1}_1\sigma^k_{i_k}\cdots\sigma^{r-1}_{i_{r-1}}$$

with $i_k > 1$. *Then*

$$\tau(y_j) \equiv 1 \mod (Q_{1,j})^{n_j} \text{ if } k \geq j$$

and

$$\tau(y_j) \equiv 0 \mod (Q_{1,j})^{n_j} \text{ if } k \leq j-1.$$

Proof. If $k \geq j$, then $\tau \in G_k \subset G_j$ so $\tau(y_j) \equiv 1 \mod (Q_{1,j})^{n_j}$ if $k \geq j$.

Now suppose that $k \leq j-1$. If $\sigma \in G_a$ then σ permutes the $Q_{i,b}$ with $a \leq b$ which contain $Q_{1,a}$, and permutes the $Q_{i,b}$ with $a \leq b$ which do not contain $Q_{i,a}$. We have that $\sigma^{k+1}_{i_{k+1}}\cdots\sigma^{r-1}_{i_{r-1}} \in G_{k+1}$ so

$$\sigma^{k+1}_{i_{k+1}}\cdots\sigma^{r-1}_{i_{r-1}}(y_j) \equiv 0 \mod Q^{n_j}_{i,j} \text{ if } Q_{1,k+1} \not\subset Q_{i,j}.$$

Since $\sigma^k_{i_k}(Q_{1,k+1}) \neq Q_{1,k+1}$, we have that

$$\sigma^k_{i_k}\sigma^{k+1}_{i_{k+1}}\cdots\sigma^{r-1}_{i_{r-1}}(y_j) \equiv 0 \mod Q^{n_j}_{1,j}.$$

Thus $\tau(y_j) \equiv 0 \mod (Q_{1,j})^{n_j}$. $\square$

Suppose that $f \in K^*$. Then $\sigma \in G$ is in G_i if and only if the conjugate valuation $\nu^*_{1,i}\sigma = \nu^*_{1,i}$ (page 68, [41]). Thus for $f \in K^*$ and $\sigma \in G_i$,

$$\nu^*(\sigma(f)) - \nu^*(f) \in \Phi^*_i.$$

Since $Q_{1,i} = I^*_{1,i} \cap T$, and since the conclusions of Lemma 3.1 are assumed to hold for $R = R'$, we have by (3) that

$$\nu^*(Q_{1,i}) \in \Phi^*_{i-1} \setminus \Phi^*_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r,$$

where

$$\nu^*(Q_{1,i}) = \min\{\nu^*(g) \mid g \in Q_{1,i}\}.$$

Suppose that $f \in T^s$.

$$\nu^*(y_1 \cdots y_r f) = \nu^*(y_1) + \cdots + \nu^*(y_r) + \nu^*(f) = \nu^*(f) \tag{11}$$

by (10) and since $Q_{1,j} \subset Q_{1,r}$ for all j. For $0 \leq i \leq r-1$, let

$$\varphi_i(f) = \min\{\nu^*(\sigma(f)) - \nu^*(f) \mid \sigma \in G_i\}.$$

We have that $\varphi_i(f) \in \Phi_i^*$. Let $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$n_{i+1}\nu^*(Q_{1,i+1}) > \varphi_i(f) \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq r-1. \tag{12}$$

Suppose that τ is as in the assumptions of Lemma 3.7 with $\tau \neq \mathrm{id}$. Then

(13)

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^*(\tau(y_1 \cdots y_r f)) - \nu^*(f) &= [\nu^*(\tau(f)) - \nu^*(f)] + \nu^*(\tau(y_1)) + \cdots + \nu^*(\tau(y_r)) \\ &\geq \varphi_k(f) + \nu^*(\tau(y_{k+1})) + \cdots + \nu^*(\tau(y_r)) \\ &\geq \varphi_k(f) + n_{k+1}\nu^*(Q_{1,k+1}) + \cdots + n_r\nu^*(Q_{1,r}) \text{ by Lemma 3.7.} \\ &> 0 \text{ by (12).} \end{aligned}$$

We have that $\mathrm{Tr}_{K^s/K}(y_1 \cdots y_r f) \in R$ and

$$\nu^*(\mathrm{Tr}_{K^s/K}(y_1 \cdots y_r f) - f) > \nu^*(f)$$

by equations (11) and (13).

We thus have established the following proposition.

Proposition 3.8. *Suppose that K^* is a Galois extension of a field K, ν is a valuation of K and ν^* is an extension of ν to K^*. Suppose that R is a normal excellent local ring with quotient field K which is dominated by ν. Then there exists a birational extension R' of R such that R' is a normal local ring which is dominated by ν and if R'' is a normal local ring with quotient field K which birationally dominates R' and is dominated by ν and if S is the local ring of the normalization of R'' in the fixed field K^s of the decomposition group $G^s(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu)$, then*

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(S) = \mathrm{gr}_\nu(R).$$

Let $R^* = T_m$ be the local ring of the integral closure T of R in K^* which is dominated by ν^*. If A is a local ring, we will write $k(A) = A/m_A$ to denote the residue field of A.

If F is a finite field extension of a field E, then F_s will denote the separable closure of E in F, and $[F : E]_s$ will denote the degree $[F_s : E]$.

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose that $G^s(R^*/R) = G^s(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu)$. Then there exists a normal local ring R' which birationally dominates R, such that ν dominates R', and if R'' is a normal local ring which birationally dominates R' and is dominated by ν, then*

$$G^i((R'')^*/R'') = G^i(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu), \tag{14}$$

where $(R'')^*$ is the local ring of the integral closure of R'' in K^* which is dominated by ν^*.

Let $k((R'')^*)_s$ be the separable closure of $k(R'')$ in $k((R'')^*)$. We further have that any basis of $k((R'')^*)_s$ over $k(R'')$ is a basis of $k(V_{\nu^*})_s$ over $k(V_\nu)$; in particular, $k((R'')^*)_s$ and $k(V_\nu)$ are linearly disjoint over $k(R'')$.

Proof. The residue field $k(V_{\nu^*})$ is finite over $k(V_\nu)$ by Corollary 2 on page 26 of [41]. Let $k(V_{\nu^*})_s$ be the separable closure of $k(V_\nu)$ in $k(V_{\nu^*})$. Let $\overline{t}_1, \ldots, \overline{t}_h \in k(V_{\nu^*})_s$ be a $k(V_\nu)$-basis. For $1 \leq i \leq h$, let

$$f_i(x) = x^{m_i} + \overline{a}_{1,i}x^{m_i-1} + \cdots + \overline{a}_{m_i,i} \in k(V_\nu)[x]$$

be the minimal polynomial of $\overline{t}_i$ over $k(V_\nu)$. Each $f_i(x)$ is a separable polynomial.

Let $A = \cap_j V_{\nu_j^*}$ be the integral closure of V_ν in K^*. Let $t_1, \ldots, t_h$ be lifts of the $\overline{t}_i$ to A. Let

$$g_i(x) = x^{n_i} + b_{1,i}x^{n_i-1} + \cdots + b_{n_i,i} \in V_\nu[x]$$

be such that $g_i(t_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq h$. Let $a_{j,i}$ be lifts of the $\overline{a}_{j,i}$ to V_ν and let B be the integral closure of

$$R[\{a_{j,i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq h, 1 \leq j \leq m_i\}, \{b_{j,i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq h, 1 \leq j \leq n_i\}]$$

in K and let $R' = B_{B \cap m_\nu}$. Suppose that R'' is a normal local ring which birationally dominates R' and is dominated by ν. Let $(R'')^*$ be the local ring of the integral closure of R'' in K^* which is dominated by ν^*.

Now $t_i \in (R'')^*$ for $1 \leq i \leq h$ and $f_i(x) \in k(R'')[x]$ for $1 \leq i \leq h$ since R'' dominates R'. Thus $\overline{t}_i \in k((R'')^*)$ is separable over $k(R'')$ for $1 \leq i \leq h$ since $f_i(x)$ is a separable polynomial, and so

$$h \leq [k((R'')^*) : k(R'')]_s. \tag{15}$$

We have that $G^s((R'')^*/R'') = G^s(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu)$, and $G^i(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu) \subset G^i((R'')^*/R'')$ by Proposition 1.50 [4]. By Theorem 1.48 [4], we have that $k((R'')^*)$ is a normal extension of $k(R'')$ and $k(R_{\nu^*})$ is a normal extension of $k(V_\nu)$, with automorphism groups

$$\mathrm{Aut}(k((R'')^*)/k(R'')) \cong G^s((R'')^*/R'')/G^i((R'')^*/R'')$$

and

$$\mathrm{Aut}(k(V_{\nu^*})/k(V_\nu)) \cong G^s(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu)/G^i(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu).$$

We then have a natural short exact sequence of groups

$$0 \to G^i((R'')^*/R'')/G^i(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu) \to \mathrm{Aut}(k(V_{\nu^*})/k(V_\nu)) \to \mathrm{Aut}(k((R'')^*)/k(R'')) \to 0.$$

Now $|\mathrm{Aut}(k(V_{\nu^*})/k(V_\nu)| = h$ and

$$h \leq [k((R'')^* : k(R'')]_s = |\mathrm{Aut}(k((R'')^*)/k(R''))|$$

by (15), so $G^i((R'')^*/R'') = G^i(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu)$. We further have that the basis $\overline{t}_1, \ldots, \overline{t}_h$ of $k(V_{\nu^*})_s$ over $k(V_\nu)$ is a basis of $k((R'')^*)_s$ over $k(R'')$. Thus any basis of $k((R'')^*)_s$ over $k(R'')$ is a basis of $k(V_{\nu^*})_s$ over $k(V_\nu)$. □

Proposition 3.10. *Suppose that K^* is a Galois extension of a field K, ν is a valuation of K and ν^* is an extension of ν to K^*. Suppose that R is a normal local ring with quotient field K which is dominated by ν. Then there exists a birational extension R' of R such that R' is a normal local ring which is dominated by ν and if R'' is a normal local ring with quotient field K which birationally dominates R' and is dominated by ν, and if R^s is the local ring of the normalization of R'' in the fixed field K^s of the decomposition group $G^s(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu)$ which is dominated by ν^* and R^i is the local ring of the normalization of R'' in the fixed field K^i of the inertia group $G^i(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu)$ which is dominated by ν^*, then we have a natural isomorphism of graded rings*

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(R^i) \cong \mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(R^s) \otimes_{k(R^s)} k(R^i).$$

Proof. Let R' be a birational extension of R which is a normal local ring and is dominated by ν and satisfies the conclusions of Lemmas 3.1, 3.5 and 3.9. Let R'' be a normal local ring which is a birational extension of R' and is dominated by ν. Without loss of generality, we may assume that R satisfies the conclusions of Lemmas 3.1, 3.5 and 3.9 and $R = R''$. In particular, we have

$$G^s(R^*/R) \cong G^s(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu) \text{ and } G^i(R^*/R) \cong G^i(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu). \tag{16}$$

Let ν^i be the restriction of ν^* to the inertia field $K^i = K^{G^i(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu)}$, and let ν^s be the restriction of ν^* to the splitting field $K^s = K^{G^s(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu)}$. By Theorem 1.48 [4] and (16), we have that $R^s \to R^i$ is unramified, $k(R^i) = k(R^*)_s$ and $k(V_{\nu^i}) = k(V_{\nu^*})_s$. By Theorem 1.47 [4] and (16), $k(V_{\nu^s}) = k(V_\nu)$ and $k(R^s) = k(R)$. Let $\overline{a}_1, \ldots, \overline{a}_n$ be a basis of $k(R^i)$ over $k(R^s)$. Then $\overline{a}_1, \ldots, \overline{a}_n$ is a basis of $k(V_{\nu^i})$ over $k(V_{\nu^s})$ by Lemma 3.9. Let $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in R^i$ be lifts of $\overline{a}_1, \ldots, \overline{a}_n$. The extension $R^s \to R^i$ is unramified, so $m_{R^s}R^i = m_{R^i}$. Thus

$$a_1R^s + \cdots + a_nR^s + m_{R^s}R^i = R^i.$$

Now R^i is the unique local ring of K^i lying over R^s by Proposition 1.46 [4], so R^i is the integral closure of the excellent local ring R^s in K^i, and so R^i is a finitely generated R^s-module. Thus $R^i = a_1R^s + \cdots + a_nR^s$ by Nakayama's lemma. Let $f \in R^i$. Then $f = a_1g_1 + \cdot + a_ng_n$ with $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in R^s$. Let $\lambda = \min\{\nu^s(g_i)\}$. After possibly reindexing the g_i, we may assume that $\nu(g_i) = \lambda$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $\nu(g_i) > \lambda$ if $r < i \leq n$. Let b_i be the residue of $\frac{g_i}{g_1}$ in $k(V_{\nu^s})$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, which are necessarily all nonzero, so we have that $\sum_{i=1}^r \overline{a}_i b_i \neq 0$ in $k(V_{\nu^i})$, since $\overline{a}_1, \ldots, \overline{a}_r$ are linearly independent over $k(V_\nu) = k(V_{\nu^s})$. Thus

$$\nu^*\left(\sum_{i=1}^r a_i \frac{g_i}{g_1}\right) = 0,$$

so that

$$\nu^*(f) = \nu^*(\sum_{i=1}^r a_i g_i) = \nu^*(g_1) = \cdots = \nu^*(g_r)$$

and

$$\nu^*(f - a_1g_1 - \cdots - a_rg_r) > \nu^*(f).$$

Thus we have equality of semigroups $S^{R^s}(\nu^s) = S^{R^i}(\nu^i)$ and for all $\gamma \in S^{\nu^s}(R^s)$ we have a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{P}_\gamma(R^i)/\mathcal{P}^+_\gamma(R^i) \cong [\mathcal{P}_\gamma(R^s)/\mathcal{P}^+_\gamma(R^s)] \otimes_{k(R^s)} k(R^i),$$

showing that

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\nu^i}(R^i) \cong \mathrm{gr}_{\nu^s}(R^s) \otimes_{k(R^s)} k(R^i).$$

□

4. Some basic results on ramification

In this section we extract some results from Abhyankar's paper [3]. Suppose that K is a field and K' is a finite separable extension of K. Suppose that R is a normal, excellent local ring with quotient field K and R' is a normal local ring of K' which lies over R. Let E be the quotient field of $\hat{R}$ and E' be the quotient field of $\hat{R}'$. Define

$$d(R' : R) = [E' : E],\ g(R' : R)] = [R'/m_{R'} : R/m_R]_s \text{ and } r(R' : R) = d(R' : R)/g(R' : R).$$

We have that $d(R' : R), g(R' : R), r(R' : R)$ are all multiplicative in towers of fields.

Now suppose that K^* is a finite Galois extension of K and that R^* is a normal local ring of K^* which lies over R. Let $R^s = R^* \cap K^s$ where $K^s = K^s(R^*/R)$ is the splitting field of R^* over R. Let $R^i = R^* \cap K^i$ where K^i is the inertia field $K^i = K^i(R^*/R)$ of R^* over R. Let E, E^s, E^i, E^* be the respective quotient fields of the complete local rings $\hat{R}, \widehat{R^s}, \widehat{R^i}$ and $\widehat{R^*}$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let R be a normal excellent local ring. Let K be the quotient field of R. Let K^* be a finite separable extension of K and let $n = [K^* : K]$. Let $x \in R$ be a primitive element of K^* over K, with minimal polynomial $f(t) \in R[t]$ (by Theorem 4, page 260 [40]). Let S_i for $1 \leq i \leq h$ be the local rings in K^* lying over R and S be the integral closure of R in K^*. Then $\hat{R}$ and $\hat{S}_i$ are normal local domains, the natural homomorphisms $\hat{R} \rightarrow \hat{S}$ are injective for all i and we have an isomorphism*

$$S \otimes_R \hat{R} \cong \oplus_{i=1}^h \hat{S}_i.$$

Let E be the quotient field of $\hat{R}$ and E_i be the quotient field of $\hat{S}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq h$. Let $e_i = [E_i : E]$. Then $n = e_1 + \cdots + e_h$. Further, x is a primitive element of E_i over E for all i with minimal polynomial $f_i(t) \in E[t]$ and there is a factorization $f(x) = f_1(x) \cdots f_h(x)$ in $E[x]$.

Proof. We have that $\hat{R}$ is a normal local domain since R is normal and excellent. By Theorem 16, page 277 [41] and Corollary 2, page 283 [41], we have a natural isomorphism

$$S \otimes_R \hat{R} \cong \oplus \hat{S}_i.$$

We have that the $\hat{S}_i$ are normal local domains since the S_i are normal and excellent. Since K^* and E contain K, we have that

$$K^* \otimes_K E \cong K^* \otimes_K (K \otimes_R E) \cong K^* \otimes_R E.$$

The natural homomorphism $S \rightarrow S_i \rightarrow \hat{S}_i$ is an injection and $\hat{R} \rightarrow \hat{S}_i$ is an injection by Proposition 10.10 [5]. Thus $S \otimes_R \hat{R}$ has no S-torsion and no $\hat{R}$-torsion, so the natural homomorphism

$$S \otimes_R \hat{R} \rightarrow K^* \otimes_K E \cong K^* \otimes_S (S \otimes_R \hat{R}) \otimes_{\hat{R}} E$$

is an injection. Thus $S \otimes_R \hat{R}$ is naturally a subring of $K^* \otimes_K E$. We have that

$$K^* \otimes_K E \cong E(x) \cong E[t]/(f(t)).$$

Let A be a ring. The total quotient ring of A is $\mathrm{QR}(A) = S^{-1}A$ where S is the multiplicative set of all non zero divisors of A. Let $g \in K^* \otimes_K E = E[x]$. Then $g = \frac{a}{b}$ where $a \in \hat{R}[x]$ and $b \in \hat{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Since $\hat{R}$ is a domain, b is not a zero divisor in $S \otimes_R \hat{R}$ by Theorem 16, page 277 [41]. Thus $K^* \otimes_K E \subset \mathrm{QR}(S \otimes_R \hat{R})$. Now $f(t)$ is reduced in $E[t]$ since K^* is separable over K. We have that $K^* \otimes_K E \cong \oplus E[t]/(f_i(t))$ is a direct sum of fields, where $f_i(t)$ are the irreducible factors of $f(t)$ in $E[t]$ by the Chinese remainder theorem. Thus $\mathrm{QR}(S \otimes_R \hat{R}) = K^* \otimes_K E$. Now $S \otimes_R \hat{R}$ is reduced, so $\mathrm{QR}(S \times_R \hat{R}) \cong \oplus_i E_i$. Thus after reindexing, we have that $E_i \cong E[t]/(f_i(t))$ and we have that

$$\sum [E_i : E] = \sum \deg(f_i) = \deg(f) = [K^* : K].$$

□

Since R^* is the unique local ring of K^* which dominates R^s by Proposition 1.46 [4], we have by Proposition 4.1 that

$$[K^* : K^s] = d(R^* : R^s) \text{ and } [K^* : K^i] = d(R^* : R^i). \tag{17}$$

By Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 1.48 [4], we have that

$$[K^i : K^s] = d(R^i : R^s) = g(R^* : R). \tag{18}$$

We have that $\hat{R}^s \cong \hat{R}$ by Theorem 1.47 [4] and Theorem 30.6 [33], and so $E^s \cong E$. Thus

$$d(R^s : R) = 1. \tag{19}$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let notations be as above. Then $r(R':R)$ is a positive integer.*

Proof. Let K^* be a finite Galois extension of K which contains K', and let R^* be a normal local ring of K^* such that R^* lies over R'. We have that

$$G^i(R^*/R') = G^i(R^*/R) \cap G(K^*/K) \text{ and } G^s(R^*/R') = G^s(R^*/R) \cap G(K^*/K),$$

so $(K')^s = K'K^s$ and $(K')^i = K'K^i$. Then we have a commutative diagram of fields

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & K^* & & \\ & \nearrow & & \nwarrow & \\ K^i & & \to & & (K')^i \\ \uparrow & & & & \uparrow \\ K^s & & \to & & (K')^s \\ \uparrow & & & & \uparrow \\ K & & \to & & K' \end{array}$$

where $K^s = K^s(R^*/R)$, $K^i = K^i(R^*/R)$, $(K')^s = (K')^s(R^*/R')$ and $(K')^i = (K')^i(R^*/R')$. Considering the induced commutative diagram of fields

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & E^* & & \\ & \nearrow & & \nwarrow & \\ E^i & & \to & & (E')^i \\ \uparrow & & & & \uparrow \\ E^s & & \to & & (E')^s \\ \uparrow & & & & \uparrow \\ E & & \to & & E' \end{array}$$

where $E, E', E^*, E^s, E^i, (E')^s, (E')^i$ are the respective quotient fields of $\hat{R}, \widehat{R'}, \widehat{R^*}, \widehat{R^s}, \widehat{R^i}, \widehat{(R')^s}, \widehat{(R')^s}$, we see from (18) and (19) that

$$d(R':R)g(R^*:R') = g(R^*:R)d((R')^i:R^i).$$

Thus $d(R':R) = g(R':R)d((R')^i:R^i)$. □

We also read off the following formulas from the commutative diagrams of Lemma 4.2 and from (17), (18) and (19).

$$[K^*:K^i][R^*/m_{R^*}:R/m_R]_s = d(R':R)[K^*:(K')^i][R^*/m_{R^*}:R'/m_{R'}]_s,$$

so that

$$[K^*:K^i] = r(R':R)[K^*:(K')^i] \tag{20}$$

and

$$[K^*:K^s] = d(R':R)[K^*:(K')^s]. \tag{21}$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let notation be as above. Then*

1) *$G^i(R^*/R) \subset G(K^*/K')$ if and only $r(R':R) = 1$ and*
2) *$G^s(R^*/R) \subset G(K^*/K')$ if and only $d(R':R) = 1$.*

Proof. The lemma follows from equations (20) and (21) and the observations that $G^i(R^*/R) \subset G(K^*/K')$ if and only $(K')^i = K^i$ and $G^s(R^*/R) \subset G(K^*/K')$ if and only $(K')^s = K^s$. □

Proposition 4.4. *Suppose that R is an excellent normal local ring with quotient field K, K' is a finite field extension of K and R' is a normal local ring of K' which lies over R. Then $R \to R'$ is unramified if and only if $r(R'/R) = 1$.*

Proof. The extension $R \to R'$ is unramified if and only if $\hat{R} \to \hat{R}'$ is unramified which holds if and only if $D(\hat{R}'/\hat{R}) = \hat{R}$ by Theorems 1.44 and 1.44A [4] (the proof of Theorem 1.44A, which is given in [3], holds when R is excellent). The discriminant ideal $D(\hat{R}'/\hat{R})$ is defined on page 31 of [4]. This condition holds if and only if

$$[R'/m_{R'} : R/m_R]_s = [E' : E],$$

where E' is the quotient field of $\hat{R}'$ and E is the quotient field of $\hat{R}$ by Theorem 1.45 [4]. But this is equivalent to the condition that $r(R'/R) = 1$. □

5. The extension of associated graded rings in an unramified extension

In this section we prove Proposition 1.7. We have the following assumptions. Suppose that R and S are normal local rings such that R is excellent, S lies over R and S is unramified over R, $\tilde{\nu}$ is a valuation of the quotient field L of S which dominates S, and ν is the restriction of $\tilde{\nu}$ to the quotient field K of R. Suppose that L is finite separable over K.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose that S_0 is a local ring which is a birational extension of S and is dominated by $\tilde{\nu}$. Then there exists a normal local ring R' which is a birational extension of R and is dominated by ν, which has the property that if R'' is a normal local ring which is a birational extension of R' and is dominated by ν, and if S'' is the normal local ring of L which lies over R'' and is dominated by $\tilde{\nu}$, then S'' dominates S_0.*

Proof. There exist $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in V_{\tilde{\nu}}$ such that S_0 is a localization of $S[f_1, \ldots, f_n]$. Let A be the integral closure of V_ν in L so that $V_{\tilde{\nu}}$ is the localization of A at $m_{\tilde{\nu}} \cap A$. Thus we may write, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $f_i = \frac{b_i}{c_i}$ with $b_i, c_i \in A$, $\tilde{\nu}(b_i) \geq 0$ and $\tilde{\nu}(c_i) = 0$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let

$$b_i^{m_i} + d_{i,1} b_i^{m_i - 1} + \cdots + d_{i,m_i} = 0 \text{ and } c_i^{n_i} + e_{i,1} c_i^{n_i - 1} + \cdots + e_{i,n_i} = 0$$

be equations of integral dependence of b_i and c_i over V_ν, so that all $d_{i,j}, e_{i.j} \in V_\nu$. Let B be the integral closure of

$$R[\{d_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq m_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}, \{e_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq n_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}]$$

in K, and let $R' = B_{m_\nu \cap B}$. Suppose that R'' and S'' are as in the statement of the lemma. Then S'' is the localization of the integral closure C of R'' in L at $C \cap m_{\tilde{\nu}}$. We have that $b_i, c_i \in C$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $c_i \notin m_{\tilde{\nu}} \cap C$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ so $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in S''$. Thus $S_0 \subset S''$ and S'' dominates S_0. □

We now prove Proposition 1.7.

Let K^* be a Galois closure of L over K. Let ν^* be an extension of $\tilde{\nu}$ to K^*. Let R^* be the local ring of K^* which is dominated by ν^* and lies over S. Now $G^i(R^*/R) \subset G(K^*/L)$ by Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 4.4, since $R \to S$ is unramified. Further, $G^i(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu) \subset G^i(R^*/R)$ by Proposition 1.50 [4]. Thus $G^i(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu) \subset G(K^*/L)$, so $L \subset K^i = (K^*)^{G^i(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu)}$.

By Lemmas 3.1, 3.5 and 3.9, there exists a normal local ring R' which is a birational extension of R and is dominated by ν such that the conclusions of Lemmas 3.1, 3.5 and 3.9 hold for the field extension $K \to K^*$, V_{ν^*} over V_ν and R'. Further, by Lemmas 3.1, 3.5 and 3.9, there exists a normal local ring S' which is a birational extension of S and is dominated by $\tilde{\nu}$ such that the conclusions of Lemmas 3.1, 3.5 and 3.9 hold for the field extension $L \to K^*$, V_{ν^*} over $V_{\tilde{\nu}}$ and S'. By Lemma 5.1, we may assume that the normal local ring of L which lies over R' and is dominated by $\tilde{\nu}$ dominates S'. Replacing R with

R'' and S with the normal local ring of L which lies over R'' and is dominated by $\tilde{\nu}$, we may assume that the conclusions of Lemmas 3.1, 3.5 and 3.9 hold for R and S.

Let $L^s = (K^*)^{G^s(V_{\nu^*}/V_{\tilde{\nu}})}$, $K^s = (K^*)^{G^s(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu)}$ and $K^i = (K^*)^{G^i(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu)}$. We have that

$$G(K^*/K^sL) = G(K^*/L) \cap G^s(V_{\nu^*}/V_\nu) = G^s(V_{\nu^*}/V_{\tilde{\nu}})$$

so L^s is the join $L^s = K^sL$. We then have a commutative diagram of fields

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & L & & & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & & & \\ K & & & & L^s = K^sL & \to & K^i & \to & K^*. \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & & & \\ & & K^s & & & & \end{array}$$

Let $S^s = L^s \cap R^*$ and $R^s = K^s \cap R^*$. Then

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\tilde{\nu}}(S) \cong \mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(S^s)$$

by Proposition 3.8, and $S^s/m_{S^s} \cong S/m_S$. Also,

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(R^s) \cong \mathrm{gr}_\nu(R)$$

by Proposition 3.8, with $R^s/m_{R^s} \cong R/m_R$. We have that S^s is finite over R^s since S^s is the unique local ring of L^s lying over R^s, and the elements of a basis of S/m_S over R/m_R are linearly independent over $k(V_\nu)$ since $k(V_{\nu^*})$ and $k(R^i)$ are linearly disjoint over $k(R)$. Thus the proof of Proposition 3.10 shows that

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(S^s) \cong \mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(R^s) \otimes_{R/m_R} S/m_S.$$

We now give an example showing that taking a birational extension of R may be necessary to obtain the conclusions of Proposition 1.7. Let k be a field and $k(x,y,z)$ be a three dimensional rational function field. Let $k' = k(z)$, which is a one dimensional rational function field. Let ν_1 be the k'-valuation of $k'(x,y)$ which is determined by a generating sequence $P_0 = x, P_0 = y, P_2 = y^2 - (z+1)x^2, \dots$ in $k'[x,y]$ where $\nu_1(P_0) = \nu_1(y) = 1$, $\nu_1(P_2) = \frac{5}{2}$ and all the P_i are in $k[x,y,z]$ (for instance using the algorithm of [18]). Let ν_2 be the k-valuation of k' defined by $\nu_2(g(z)) = \mathrm{ord}_z(g)$ for $g \in k[z]$. Let ν be the composition of ν_1 and ν_2, and let $R = k[x,y,z]_{(x,y,z)}$. Suppose $h \in R$. We have that $h = uf$ where $u \in R$ is a unit and $f \in k[x,y,z]$. Then (by equation (60) in [18]) f has an expansion $f = \sum A_i(x,z)M_i$ where the M_i are suitable monomials in the P_j (with $j > 0$) and $A_i(x,z) \in k[x,z]$ and

$$\nu(h) = \nu(f) = \min\{\nu(A_i(x,z)M_i)\} = \min\{(\nu_2(M_i) + r_i, \mathrm{ord}_z(g_i(z)))\} \in (\Phi_{\nu_2} \times \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{lex}},$$

where for $A_i \neq 0$, we express $A_i = x^{r_i}(g_i(z) + x_i\Omega_i)$ with $g_i(z) \in k[z]$ not equal to zero and $\Omega_i \in k[x,z]$. Let $S = (R[w]/w^2 - (z+1))_{(x,y,z,w-1)}$. The extension $R \to S$ is unramified. Let ν^* be an extension of ν to $K^* = \mathrm{QF}(S)$ which dominates S. Then

$$(\frac{5}{2}, 0) = \nu^*(P_2) = \nu^*(y - wx) + \nu^*(y + wx).$$

Now $y + wx = y + x + (w-1)x$ so $\nu^*(y + wx) = (1,0)$. Thus

$$\nu^*(y - wx) = (\frac{3}{2}, 0) \notin S^\nu(R) = \{\nu(f) \mid f \in R\}.$$

6. Conventions on valuations in algebraic function fields

We recall some classical invariants of valuations (Chapter VI, [41], [4]), and establish some notations which we will follow.

Suppose that K is a field of algebraic functions over a field k. We will say that a local domain R with quotient field K is an algebraic local ring of K if R is a localization of a finite type k-algebra. A valuation ν of K will be called a k-valuation if $\nu(f) = 0$ for all nonzero $f \in k$. If X is an integral k-scheme with function field K, then a point $p \in X$ is called a center of the valuation ν (or the valuation ring V_ν) if V_ν dominates $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$. If R is a subring of V_ν then the center of ν (the center of V_ν) on R is the prime ideal $R \cap m_{V_\nu}$.

Suppose that ν is a k-valuation of K with valuation ring V_ν and value group Φ_ν. Let m_ν be the maximal ideal of V_ν.

The primes of V_ν are a finite chain

$$0 = p_0 \subset \cdots \subset p_r = m_\nu \subset V_\nu.$$

The rank of V_ν is the length r of this chain. $r \leq \mathrm{trdeg}_k K < \infty$ by Corollary, page 50, Section 11, Chapter VI, [41]. The isolated subgroups of the value group Φ_ν of ν are

$$0 = \Delta_r \subset \cdots \subset \Delta_0 = \Gamma.$$

The Δ_i are defined as follows. Set $U_i = \{\nu(a) \mid a \in p_i\}$. Δ_i is the complement of U_i and $-U_i$ in Φ_ν. For $i < j$, $(V_\nu/p_i)_{p_j}$ is a rank $j-i$ valuation ring with value group Δ_i/Δ_j and with quotient field $(V_\nu/p_i)_{p_i}$. V_ν is said to be composite with the valuation ring $(V_\nu/p_i)_{p_j}$. Set

$$\lambda_i = \mathrm{trdeg}_k (V_\nu/p_i)_{p_i}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq r$. The rational rank of $(V_\nu/p_{i-1})_{p_i}$ is

$$s_i = \mathrm{ratrank}(V_\nu/p_{i-1})_{p_i} := \dim_{\mathbf{Q}}(\Delta_{i-1}/\Delta_i) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r$. The numbers s_i and λ_i are $< \infty$ by Theorem 1 [2] or by Proposition 2, Appendix 2 [41].

Now suppose that K^* is a finite extension of K, and ν^* is an extension of ν to K^*. Let V_{ν^*} be the valuation ring of ν^*, and let Φ_{ν^*} be the value group. The primes of V_{ν^*} are a finite chain

$$0 = p_0^* \subset \cdots \subset p_r^* = m_{\nu^*} \subset V_{\nu^*}$$

with $p_i^* \cap V_\nu = p_i$, $0 \leq i \leq r$, and with isolated subgroups

$$0 = \Delta_r^* \subset \cdots \subset \Delta_0^* = \Phi_{\nu^*}$$

which have the property that $\Delta_i^* \cap \Phi_\nu = \Delta_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq r$ and Δ_i^*/Δ_i is a finite (Abelian) group for $0 \leq i \leq r$ (Section 11, Chapter VI [41]). We further have that

$$\mathrm{trdeg}_k (V_{\nu^*}/p_i^*)_{p_i^*} = \mathrm{trdeg}_k (V_\nu/p_i)_{p_i} = \lambda_i$$

for $0 \leq i \leq r$ and

$$\mathrm{ratrank}(V_{\nu^*}/p_{i-1}^*)_{p_i^*} = \mathrm{ratrank}(V_\nu/p_{i-1})_{p_i} = s_i$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Set $t_i = \lambda_{i-1} - \lambda_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

The ramification index of ν^* relative to ν is defined to be (page 53, Section 11, Chapter VI, [41])

$$e = [\Phi_{\nu^*} : \Phi_\nu]. \tag{22}$$

The residue degree of ν^* with respect to ν is defined to be (page 53, Section 11, Chapter VI [41])

$$f = [V_{\nu^*}/m_{\nu^*} : V_\nu/m_\nu]. \tag{23}$$

7. An Abyankar Jung Theorem along a valuation

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.5 and Proposition 1.6. Let notation be as in the statement of Theorem 1.5.

Let $u_1, \ldots, u_{\lambda_r} \in V_\nu$ be such that their classes $\overline{u}_1, \ldots, \overline{u}_{\lambda_r}$ in V_ν/m_ν are a transcendence basis of V_ν/m_ν over k. Then $k(u_1, \ldots, u_{\lambda_r})$ is a rational function field over k which is contained in V_ν and V_ν/m_ν is an algebraic extension of $k(u_1, \ldots, u_{\lambda_r})$. Let T'' be the integral closure of $\tilde{R}[u_1, \ldots, u_{\lambda_r}]$ in K, and $R'' = T''_{T''\cap m_\nu}$. Suppose that $f \in k[u_1, \ldots, u_{\lambda_r}]$ is nonzero. Then $\nu(f) = 0$ since $u_1, \ldots, u_{\lambda_r}$ are algebraically independent over k in V_ν/m_ν. Thus $f \notin m_{R''} = m_\nu \cap R''$, and so $\frac{1}{f} \in R''$. In particular, $k(u_1, \ldots, u_{\lambda_r}) \subset R''$. We may thus replace k with $k(u_1, \ldots, u_{\lambda_r})$ and $\tilde{R}$ with R'' allowing us to assume that V_ν/m_ν is algebraic over k. Observe that $\tilde{R}/m_{\tilde{R}}$ is then necessarily a finite field extension of k since $\tilde{R}/m_{\tilde{R}}$ is a finitely generated algebraic field extension of k.

We will use the following generalization of the strong monomialization theorem, Theorem 4.8 [17]. Theorem 4.8 [17] is itself a generalization of the local monomialization theorem of [12].

Theorem 7.1. *Let k be a field of characteristic zero, K an algebraic function field over k, K^* a finite algebraic extension of K, ν^* a k-valuation of K^*. Suppose that $\tilde{S}$ is an algebraic local ring with quotient field K^* which is dominated by ν^* and $\tilde{R}$ is an algebraic local ring with quotient field K which is dominated by $\tilde{S}$. Let notation be as in Section 6 for $V = V_\nu$, $V^* = V_{\nu^*}$. Then there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R_0 & \rightarrow & S & \subset & V^* \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ \tilde{R} & \rightarrow & \tilde{S} & & \end{array} \tag{24}$$

such that $\tilde{R} \rightarrow R_0$ and $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ are sequences of monoidal transforms such that V^* dominates S, S dominates R_0 and there are regular parameters $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ in R_0, $(y_1, ..., y_n)$ in S such that

$$\begin{aligned} p_i \cap R_0 &= (x_1, \ldots, x_{t_1+\cdots+t_i}) \\ p_i^* \cap S &= (y_1, \ldots, y_{t_1+\cdots+t_i}) \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and there are relations

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1 &= y_1^{g_{11}(1)} \cdots y_{s_1}^{g_{1s_1}(1)} y_{t_1+1}^{h_{1,t_1+1}(1)} \cdots y_m^{h_{1m}(1)} \delta_{11}\\
&\vdots\\
x_{s_1} &= y_1^{g_{s_1 1}(1)} \cdots y_{s_1}^{g_{s_1 s_1}(1)} y_{t_1+1}^{h_{s_1,t_1+1}(1)} \cdots y_m^{h_{s_1 m}(1)} \delta_{1s_1}\\
x_{s_1+1} &= y_{s_1+1}\\
&\vdots\\
x_{t_1} &= y_{t_1}\\
x_{t_1+1} &= y_{t_1+1}^{g_{11}(2)} \cdots y_{t_1+s_2}^{g_{1s_2}(2)} y_{t_1+t_2+1}^{h_{1,t_1+t_2+1}(2)} \cdots y_m^{h_{1m}(2)} \delta_{21}\\
&\vdots\\
x_{t_1+s_2} &= y_{t_1+1}^{g_{s_2 1}(2)} \cdots y_{t_1+s_2}^{g_{s_2 s_2}(2)} y_{t_1+t_2+1}^{h_{s_2,t_1+t_2+1}(2)} \cdots y_n^{h_{s_2 n}(2)} \delta_{2s_2}\\
x_{t_1+s_2+1} &= y_{t_1+s_2+1}\\
&\vdots\\
x_{t_1+t_2} &= y_{t_1+t_2}\\
&\vdots\\
x_{t_1+\cdots+t_{r-1}+1} &= y_{t_1+\cdots+t_{r-1}+1}^{g_{11}(r)} \cdots y_{t_1+\cdots+t_{r-1}+s_r}^{g_{1s_r}(r)} \delta_{r1}\\
&\vdots\\
x_{t_1+\cdots+t_{r-1}+s_r} &= y_{t_1+\cdots+t_{r-1}+1}^{g_{s_r 1}(r)} \cdots y_{t_1+\cdots+t_{r-1}+s_r}^{g_{s_r s_r}(r)} \delta_{rs_r}\\
x_{t_1+\cdots+t_{r-1}+s_r+1} &= y_{t_1+\cdots+t_{r-1}+s_r+1}\\
&\vdots\\
x_{t_1+\cdots+t_r} &= y_{t_1+\cdots+t_r}
\end{aligned}$$

where $n = t_1 + \cdots + t_{r-1} + s_r$ and for $1 \leq i \leq r$,

$$det \begin{pmatrix} g_{11}(i) & \cdots & g_{1s_i}(i) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ g_{s_i 1}(i) & \cdots & g_{s_i s_i}(i) \end{pmatrix} \neq 0,$$

δ_{ij} are units in S, $h_{i,j}(l)$ are natural numbers such that for $1 \leq l \leq k \leq r-1$ and $1 \leq i \leq s_l$,

$$h_{i,j}(l) = 0 \text{ if } t_1 + \cdots + t_k + s_{k+1} < j \leq t_1 + \cdots + t_{k+1}.$$

Let

$$T = \{j \mid t_1 + \cdots + t_k < j \leq t_1 + \cdots + t_k + s_{k+1} \text{ for some } 0 \leq k \leq r-1\}.$$

Then $\{\nu^*(y_j) \mid j \in T\}$ is a rational basis of $\Phi_{\nu^*} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ and $\{\nu^*(x_j) \mid j \in T\}$ is a rational basis of $\Phi_\nu \otimes \mathbf{Q}$.

The statement of Theorem 7.1 differs from the statement of Theorem 4.8 [17] in that we have the stronger statement that

$$x_{t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j} = y_{t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r$ (with the convention $t_1 + \cdots + t_{i-1} = 0$ if $i = 0$) and for $s_i < j \leq t_i$. We continue to have that $\nu(x_{t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+1}), \ldots, \nu(x_{t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+s_i})$ is a basis of the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $G(\nu(x_{t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+1}), \ldots, \nu(x_{t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+t_i})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. We may assume that the conclusions of Theorem 4.8 [17] hold. For $i<k$, $1\leq j\leq t_i$, $1\leq l\leq t_k$, define a monoidal transform $S(i,j,k,l)$ from S to S' along ν^*, where S' has regular parameters y'_m by

$$y_m=\begin{cases} y'_{t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j}y_{t_1+\cdots+t_{k-1}+l} & \text{if } m=t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j\\ y'_m & \text{if } m\neq t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j.\end{cases}$$

The monoidal transform $S(i,j,k,l)$ is along ν^* since $\nu^*(y_{t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j})>\nu^*(y_{t_1+\cdots+t_{k-1}+l})$ as $i<k$.

We will prove the theorem by induction on m, where m is the smallest index with $1\leq m\leq t_1+\cdots+t_r$ such that x_m is not in the form required by Theorem 7.1. Then we have an expression $m=t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j$ with $s_i<j\leq t_i$. Whence (with the notation of Theorem 4.8 [17]),

$$x_{t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j}=\delta_{i,j}y_{t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j}y_{t_1+\cdots+t_{i+1}}^{h_{j,t_1+\cdots+t_i+1}(i)}\cdots y_{t_1+\cdots+t_{r-1}+s_r}^{h_{j,t_1+\cdots+t_{r-1}+s_r}(i)}$$

with all $h_{j,k}(i)$ non negative integers, and $h_{j,k}(i)=0$ if $k\neq t_1+\cdots+t_{u-1}+v$ with $1\leq v\leq s_u$ for some u. The rank of the $s_u\times s_u$ matrix $(g_{\alpha,\beta}(u))$ is s_u for $1\leq u\leq r$. Thus each column of $(g_{\alpha,\beta}(u))$ is nonzero, and so there exists a sequence of monoidal transforms $S\to S'$ along ν^* of the type $S(i,j,u,l)$ with $i<u\leq r$ and $1\leq l\leq s_u$ such that we have new regular parameters y'_m in S' with

$$y_m=\begin{cases} y'_{t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j}(y'_{t_1+\cdots+t_i+1})^{b_{t_1+\cdots+t_i+1}}\cdots(y'_{t_1+\cdots+t_{r-1}+s_r})^{b_{t_1+\cdots+t_{r-1}+s_r}}\\ \text{ if } m=t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j\\ y'_m \text{ if } m\neq t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j\end{cases}$$

such that b_l are non negative integers with $b_{t_1+\cdots+t_{u-1}+v}=0$ if $s_u<v\leq t_u$ for $i+1\leq u\leq r$, and

$$x_m=\delta_{i,j}y'_{t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j}M$$

where

$$M=(y'_{t_1+\cdots+t_i+1})^{b_{t_1+\cdots+t_i+1}+h_{j,t_1+\cdots+t_i+1}(i)}\cdots(y'_{t+1+\cdots+t_{r-1}+s_r})^{b_{t_1+\cdots+t_{r-1}+s_r}+h_{j,t_1+\cdots+t_{r-1}+s_r}(i)}$$

if $m=t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j$ and since each column of $(g_{l,m}(u))$ is nonzero, we can choose the $b_{t_1+\cdots+t_{u-1}+v}$ so that there exist nonnegative integers $c_{t_1+\cdots+t_{u-1}+v}$ such that

$$\prod(x_{t_1+\cdots+t_{u-1}+v})^{c_{t_1+\cdots+t_{u-1}+v}}=\gamma M$$

where γ is a unit in S and the product is over $i\leq u-1\leq r-1$ and $1\leq v\leq s_u$. Further, the expression of x_m in terms of the y'_l is obtained from the expression of x_m in terms of y_l by replacing y_l with y'_l for $1\leq l\leq t_1+\cdots+t_r$ if $m\neq t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j$.

Now we have a monoidal transform $R\to R'$ along ν which factors through S' defined by

$$x_m=\begin{cases} x'_{t_1+\cdots+t_{i+1}+j}\prod(x'_{t_1+\cdots+t_{u-1}+v})^{c_{t_1+\cdots+t_{u-1}+v}} & \text{if } m=t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j\\ x'_m & \text{if } m\neq t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j.\end{cases}$$

We obtain that

$$x'_{t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j}=\gamma\delta_{i,j}y'_{t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j}$$

and if $m\neq t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j$, then the expression for x'_m is terms of the y'_l is obtained by replacing the y_l variables with y'_l in the expression for x_m in terms of the y_l. Finally, we have new variables y''_l in S', obtained by letting

$$y''_l=\begin{cases}\gamma\delta_{i,j}y'_{t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j} & \text{if } l=t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j\\ y'_l & \text{if } l\neq t_1+\cdots+t_{i-1}+j,\end{cases}$$

proving the induction statement.

□

We now summarize some results on toric rings from [8]. Suppose that M is a finitely generated submonoid (subsemigroup) of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ for some $n \geq 0$. Let

$$\tilde{M}_{\mathbb{Z}^n} = \{v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid mv \in M \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}. \tag{25}$$

We have that $\tilde{M}_{\mathbb{Z}^n} = (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}M) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ (Proposition 2.2 [8]).

Proposition 7.2. *(Proposition 2.43 [8]) Suppose $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ are linearly independent. Let*

$$\text{par}(v_1, \ldots, v_n) = \{q_1v_1 + \cdots + q_nv_n \mid 0 \leq q_i < 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n\}.$$

Let $M = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}v_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}v_n$ be the submonoid of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ generated by $v_1, \ldots, v_n$. Then

a) *$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n \cap \text{par}(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ is a system of generators of the M-module $\tilde{M}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}$; that is, $\tilde{M}_{\mathbb{Z}^n} = \cup_{x \in \Lambda}(x + M)$.*
b) *$(a + M) \cap (b + M) = \emptyset$ for $a, b \in \Lambda$ with $a \neq b$.*
c) *$|\Lambda| = [\mathbb{Q}U \cap \mathbb{Z}^n : U]$ where U is the sublattice of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ generated by M.*

Lemma 7.3. *(Lemma 4.40 [8]) Suppose that k is a ring, M is a finitely generated submonoid of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and $k[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$ is a polynomial ring over k. Then $k[z^v \mid v \in \tilde{M}_{\mathbb{Z}^n}]$ is the integral closure of $k[v \mid v \in M]$ in $k(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$.*

Suppose that $R_0 \to S$ satisfies the conclusions of Theorem 7.1 and that $R_0/m_{R_0} \cong S/m_S$. Then after replacing the x_i with the product of x_i times an appropriate unit in R_0, we may assume that $\delta_{i,j} \equiv 1 \bmod m_S$ for all i, j. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ where

$$x_i = \delta_i \prod_{j=1}^{n} y_j^{a_{ij}} \tag{26}$$

with $\delta_i \in S$ units satisfying $\delta_i \equiv 1 \bmod m_S$. As in Theorem 4.1 [17], from the adjoint matrix of A, for all $i = t_1 + \cdots + t_{a-1} + b$ with $1 \leq a \leq r$ and $1 \leq b \leq s_a$, we have expressions

$$f_i = \prod x_j^{b_{ij}} = (\prod \delta_j^{b_{ij}}) y_i^e \tag{27}$$

where the products are over $j = t_1 + \cdots + t_{u-1} + v$ with $1 \leq u \leq r$ and $1 \leq v \leq s_u$, all $b_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $e = |\text{Det}(A)|$. Let

$$R = \overline{R_0[\Omega]}_{m_\nu \cap \overline{R_0[\Omega]}},$$

where,

$$\Omega = \{f_i \mid i = t_1 + \cdots + t_{u-1} + v \text{ for } 1 \leq u \leq r, 1 \leq v \leq s_u\}$$

and $\overline{R_0[\Omega]}$ denotes the integral closure of $R_0[\Omega]$ in K. Then S dominates R and $R \to S$ is quasi finite. Let

$$\Theta = \{x_i \mid x_i = x_{t_1 + \cdots + t_{u-1} + v} \mid 1 \leq u \leq r, 1 \leq v \leq s_u\}.$$

We have that $m_\nu \cap R_0[\Omega] = (x_1, \ldots, x_n, \Omega)$ and $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]_{(x_1, \ldots, x_n)} \to R_0$ is unramifed, so

$$k[x_1, \ldots, x_n, \Omega]_{(x_1, \ldots, x_n, \Omega)} \to R_0[\Omega]_{m_\nu \cap R_0[\Omega]}$$

is unramified as $(x_1, \ldots, x_n, \Omega)R_0[\Omega]_{m_\nu \cap R_0[\Omega]}$ is the maximal ideal of $R_0[\Omega]_{m_\nu \cap R_0[\Omega]}$. There exist Laurent monomials $M_1, \ldots, M_\alpha$ in the variables in Θ such that letting $\Sigma = \{M_1, \ldots, M_\alpha\}$, $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n, \Omega, \Sigma]$ is the integral closure of $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n, \Omega]$ in $k(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ by Lemma 7.3.

We have that $\nu(M_i)$ is positive for $1 \leq i \leq \alpha$, since some power of M_i is a monomial in elements of Ω and Θ by (25). Thus $m_\nu \cap R_0[\Omega, \Sigma] = (x_1, \ldots, x_n, \Omega, \Sigma)$. Now

$$k[x_1, \ldots, x_n, \Omega, \Sigma]_{(x_1,\ldots,x_n,\Omega,\Sigma)} \rightarrow R_0[\Omega, \Sigma]_{(x_1,\ldots,x_n,\Omega,\Sigma)} \tag{28}$$

is unramified, and thus by Corollary 9.11, Exposé I [25], $R_0[\Omega, \Sigma]_{(x_1,\ldots,x_n,\Omega,\Sigma)}$ is normal. The elements of Ω and Σ are monomials in the variables Θ.

Recall that

$$\{\nu(x_i) \mid x_i \in \Theta\} \text{ is a basis of the } \mathbb{Q}\text{-vector space } \Phi_\nu \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}. \tag{29}$$

Now (Proposition on page 48 [21]) there exists a toric resolution of singularities of $\mathrm{Spec}(k[x_1, \ldots, x_n, \Omega, \Sigma])$, so there exist Laurent monomials $\overline{N} = \{N_1, \ldots, N_\beta\}$ in the variables of Θ such that

$$\nu(N_j) \geq 0 \text{ for all } j, \tag{30}$$

and $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n, \Omega, \Sigma, \overline{N}]$ is a regular ring. By (29) and (30), we have that $\nu(N_j) > 0$ for all j, so that

$$k[x_1, \ldots, x_n, \Sigma, \overline{N}] \cap m_\nu = (x_1, \ldots, x_n, \Omega, \Sigma, \overline{N}).$$

Thus $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n, \Omega, \Sigma, \overline{N}]_{(x_1,\ldots,x_n,\Omega,\Sigma,\overline{N})}$ is a regular local ring which is dominated by ν. Whence we have that

$$k[x_1, \ldots, x_n, \Omega, \Sigma, \overline{N}]_{(x_1,\ldots,x_n,\Omega,\Sigma,\overline{N})} \rightarrow R_0[\Omega, \Sigma, \overline{N}]_{(x_1,\ldots,x_n,\Omega,\Sigma,\overline{N})}$$

is unramified and

$$R_0[\Omega, \Sigma, \overline{N}]_{(x_1,\ldots,x_n,\Omega,\Sigma,\overline{N})}$$

is a regular local ring which is dominated by ν.

Now each $N_j = \gamma_j \tilde{N}_j$ where $\gamma_j \in S$ is a unit and $\tilde{N}_j$ is a Laurent monomial in the variables

$$\tilde{\Theta} = \{y_i \mid y_i = y_{t_1+\cdots+t_{u-1}+v} \mid 1 \leq u \leq r, 1 \leq v \leq s_u\}.$$

Let $\tilde{N} = \{\tilde{N}_1, \ldots, \tilde{N}_\beta\}$. Then $S[\overline{N}]_{(y_1,\ldots,y_n,\overline{N})} = S[\tilde{N}]_{(y_1,\ldots,y_n,\tilde{N})}$ is dominated by ν^*. By Lemma 7.3, by adding finitely many more Laurent monomials $\tilde{P} = \{\tilde{P}_1, \ldots, \tilde{P}_\gamma\}$ in the variables $\tilde{\Theta}$, we have that $k[y_1, \ldots, y_n, \tilde{N}, \tilde{P}]$ is normal and is dominated by ν^*, $k[y_1, \ldots, y_n, \tilde{N}] \rightarrow k[y_1, \ldots, y_n, \tilde{N}, \tilde{P}]$ is finite and

$$k[y_1, \ldots, y_n, \tilde{N}, \tilde{P}]_{(y_1,\ldots,y_n,\tilde{N},\tilde{P})} \rightarrow S[\tilde{N}, \tilde{P}]_{(y_1,\ldots,y_n,\tilde{N},\tilde{P})}$$

is unramified. Further, $S[\tilde{N}, \tilde{P}]_{(y_1,\ldots,y_n,\tilde{N},\tilde{P})}$ is normal by Corollary 9.11, Exposé I [25], lies over $S[\tilde{N}]_{(y_1,\ldots,y_n,\tilde{N})}$ and is dominated by ν^*. Thus $S[\tilde{N}, \tilde{P}]_{(y_1,\ldots,y_n,\tilde{N},\tilde{P})}$ is the normal local ring of K^* which is dominated by ν^* and lies over $R_0[\Omega, \Sigma, \overline{N}]_{(x_1,\ldots,x_n,\Omega,\Sigma,\overline{N})}$.

Let $C = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n, \Omega, \Sigma, \overline{N}]$. The k-algebra C is $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded by the grading $\deg x_i = e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, where e_i is the vector with a 1 in the i-th place and zeros everywhere else. Let $m = (x_1, \ldots, x_n, \Omega, \Sigma, \overline{N})$ be the $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded maximal ideal of C. Since C is an n-dimensional regular ring, $\dim_k m/m^2 = n$. Let $T_1, \ldots, T_n \in \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \cup \Omega \cup \Sigma \cup \overline{N}$ form a k-basis of m/m^2. A nonzero homogeneous element U of C (with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}^n$-grading) is uniquely determined up to multiplication by a nonzero element of k. Thus for $l \in \mathbb{N}$, a nonzero homogeneous element U of $m^l \setminus m^{l+1}$ is a monomial in $T_1, \ldots, T_n$ times a nonzero element of k. Thus $C = k[T_1, \ldots, T_n]$. Since $\dim C = n$, $T_1, \ldots, T_n$ are algebraically independent over k, and so C is a polynomial ring over k in the variables $T_1, \ldots, T_n$.

Since $\delta_i \equiv 1 \bmod m_S$ for all i in (26), and since a complete local ring is Henselian, and k has characteristic zero, there exist units $\varepsilon_i \in \hat{S}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $\varepsilon_i = 1$ if $y_i \notin \tilde{\Theta}$, and setting $z_i = \varepsilon_i y_i$,

$$x_i = \prod z_j^{a_{ij}} \text{ for } x_i \in \Theta \text{ and } x_i = y_i = z_i \text{ for } x_i \notin \Theta \tag{31}$$

where the product is over z_j with $y_j \in \tilde{\Theta}$. Let

$$R_1 = R_0[\Omega, \Sigma, \overline{N}]_{(x_1,\ldots,x_n,\Omega,\Sigma,\overline{N})} \text{ and } S_1 = S[\tilde{N}, \tilde{P}]_{(y_1,\ldots,y_n,\tilde{N},\tilde{P})}. \tag{32}$$

Define Laurent monomials $\hat{N}$ and $\hat{P}$ in the variables $\{z_i \mid y_i \in \tilde{\Theta}\}$ by replacing the variables y_i in the monomials in $\tilde{N}$ and $\tilde{P}$ with the z_i. Then $k[z_1, \ldots, z_n, \hat{N}, \hat{P}]$ is normal, since it is normal when we replace the z_i variables with the y_i variables. We have a commutative diagram of k-algebra homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_0 & \rightarrow & S \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ k[x_1, \ldots, x_n] & \rightarrow & k[z_1, \ldots, z_n] \end{array}$$

where the map $k[z_1, \ldots, z_n] \rightarrow S$ is defined by $z_i \mapsto \varepsilon_i y_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and the map $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \rightarrow k[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$ is defined by $x_i \mapsto \prod_j z_j^{a_{ij}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We have that the induced homonorphisms $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]_{(x_1,\ldots,x_n)} \rightarrow R_0$ and $k[z_1, \ldots, z_n]_{(z_1,\ldots,z_n)} \rightarrow S$ are unramified.

We have an induced commutative diagram of k-algebra homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_1 & \rightarrow & S_1 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ k[T_1, \ldots, T_n] & \rightarrow & k[z_1, \ldots, z_n, \hat{N}, \hat{P}] \end{array}$$

where the induced homomorphisms $k[T_1, \ldots, T_n]_{(T_1,\ldots,T_n)} \rightarrow R_1$ and

$$k[z_1, \ldots, z_n, \hat{N}, \hat{P}]_{(z_1,\ldots,z_n,\hat{N},\hat{P})} \rightarrow S_1$$

are unramified and the T_j are Laurent monomials in the z_i variables.

$k[z_1, \ldots, z_n, \hat{N}, \hat{P}]$ is finite over $k[z_1, \ldots, z_n, \hat{N}]$ since $k[y_1, \ldots, y_n, \tilde{N}, \tilde{P}]$ is finite over $k[y_1, \ldots, y_n, \tilde{N}]$. The k-algebra $k[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$ is finite over $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n, \Omega]$ since $f_i = z_i^e$ by (27) and by (31). Thus $k[z_1, \ldots, z_n, \hat{N}, \hat{P}]$ is finite over $k[T_1, \ldots, T_n] = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n, \Omega, \Sigma, \overline{N}]$ and so $k[z_1, \ldots, z_n, \hat{N}, \hat{P}]$ is the integral closure of $k[T_1, \ldots, T_n]$ in $k(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$. Let $D = k[z_1, \ldots, z_n, \hat{N}, \hat{P}]$. Express $T_i = \prod z_j^{e_{ij}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $E = (e_{i,j})$.

Recall the notation introduced before the statement of Proposition 7.2. Let M be the submonoid of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ generated by

$$\{(e_{i,1}, \ldots, e_{i,n}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Then $D = k[z^v \mid v \in \tilde{M}_{\mathbb{Z}}]$ by Lemma 7.3. Now $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \rightarrow k[T_1, \ldots, T_n]$ is birational and the T_i are Laurent monomials in $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, so there exists an $n \times n$ matrix $Q = (q_{ij})$ such that $x_i = \prod_j T_j^{q_{ij}}$ with $|\mathrm{Det}(Q)| = 1$. Thus $A = QE$, and so $|\mathrm{Det}(E)| = |\mathrm{Det}(A)|$. We have

$$|\mathrm{Det}(E)| = [\mathbb{Z}^n : E\mathbb{Z}^n] = [\mathbb{Z}^n : A\mathbb{Z}^n] = |\mathrm{Det}(A)|. \tag{33}$$

By Proposition 7.2, there exists a subset Λ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ such that D is a free $k[T_1, \ldots, T_n]$-module with $k[T_1, \ldots, T_n]$-basis $\{z^\sigma \mid \sigma \in \Lambda\}$ and $|\Lambda| = [\mathbb{Z}^n : E\mathbb{Z}^n]$. We have that $z^\sigma = \lambda_\sigma y^\sigma$ where $\lambda_\sigma \in \hat{S}_1$ are units. $y^\sigma = \lambda_\sigma^{-1} z^\sigma \in \hat{S}_1 \cap K^* = S_1$ by Lemma 2 [3]. There exist

$g_1, \ldots, g_c \in R_1$ such that $\{\overline{g}_1, \ldots, \overline{g}_c\}$ is a k-basis of $S_1/m_{S_1} = R_1/m_{R_1}$, where $\overline{g}_i$ is the class of g_i in R_1/m_{R_1}. Now $D \to S_1$ is unramified, so $m_D S_1 = m_{S_1}$, and so

$$\hat{S}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{c} g_i \hat{D} + m_D \hat{S}_1.$$

We have that $\hat{D}$ is a finite $\hat{S}_1$-module, so $\hat{S}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{c} g_i \hat{D}$ by Nakayama's lemma. Hence

$$\hat{S}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda} g_i(\lambda_\sigma y^\sigma)\hat{D} = \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda} (\lambda_\sigma y^\sigma)\hat{R}_1.$$

Now S_1 lies over R_1, so there exists t such that $m_{S_1}^t \subset m_{R_1} S_1$ which implies $m_{\hat{S}_1}^t \subset m_{\hat{R}_1}\hat{S}_1$ so there exist $\tau_\sigma \in S_1$ such that $\tau_\sigma \equiv \lambda_\sigma \bmod m_{\hat{S}_1}^t$, so that $\hat{S}_1 = \sum_{\sigma\in\Lambda}(\tau_\sigma y^\sigma)\hat{R}_1 + m_{\hat{R}_1}\hat{S}_1$ which implies $\hat{S}_1 = \sum(\tau_\sigma y^\sigma)\hat{R}_1$ by Nakayama's lemma, since $\hat{S}_1$ is a finitely generated $\hat{R}_1$-module. Now $S_1/m_{S_1}^t \cong \hat{S}_1/m_{S_1}^t\hat{S}_1$ and $m_{S_1}^t \subset m_{R_1}S_1$ which implies $S_1 = \sum_{\sigma\in\Lambda}(\tau_\sigma y^\sigma)R_1 + m_{R_1}S_1$. If $R_1 \to S_1$ is finite, we then have

$$S_1 = \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda} (\tau_\sigma y^\sigma) R_1 \tag{34}$$

by Nakayama's lemma.

Proposition 7.4. *With the above notation, assume that $R_1 \to S_1$ is finite,*

$$e = [\Phi_{\nu^*}/\Phi_\nu] = |\mathrm{Det}(A)| \text{ and} \tag{35}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}^n/A^t\mathbb{Z}^n \cong \Phi_{\nu^*}/\Phi_\nu \tag{36}$$

by the map $(b_1, \ldots, b_n) \mapsto b_1\nu^*(y_1) + \cdots + b_n\nu^*(y_n)$. Then

$$\{\nu^*(\tau_\sigma y^\sigma) | \sigma \in \Lambda\}$$

is a complete set of representatives of the cosets of Φ_ν in Φ_{ν^*}.

Proof. Assume that $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Lambda$ and $\nu^*(\tau_{\sigma_1} y^{\sigma_1}) - \nu^*(\tau_{\sigma_2} y^{\sigma_2}) \in \Phi_\nu$. Then $\nu^*(y^{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2}) \in \Phi_\nu$. By (36), $y^{\sigma_1-\sigma_2} = ux^\lambda$ for a suitable unit $u \in S$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, and so $z^{\sigma_1-\sigma_2} = x^\lambda$. Thus $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ by Proposition 7.2 b). The proposition now follows since

$$|\Lambda| = |\mathrm{Det}(E)| = |\mathrm{Det}(A)| = e$$

by (33) and (35). □

We now give the proof of Theorem 1.5. Let notation be as in the statement of the theorem. Let $\overline{K}$ be a Galois closure of $K \to K^*$, and $\overline{\nu}$ be an extension of ν^* to $\overline{K}$. Let $K^s = \overline{K}^{G^s(V_{\overline{\nu}}/V_\nu)}$, $K^i = \overline{K}^{G^i(V_{\overline{\nu}})/V_\nu)}$ and $(K^*)^s = \overline{K}^{G^s(V_{\overline{\nu}}/V_{\nu^*})}$. We have that $(K^*)^s = K^*K^s$ since

$$G(\overline{K}/K^*K^s) = G(\overline{K}/K^*) \cap G^s(V_{\overline{\nu}}/V_\nu) = G^s(V_{\overline{\nu}}/V_{\nu^*}).$$

Let $K' = K^i \cap (K^*)^s$ and $\nu' = \overline{\nu}|K'$. Let $(\nu^*)^s = \overline{\nu}|(K^*)^s$. We have a commutative diagram of field extensions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & K^s & \to & K' & \to & K^i \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K & & & & (K^*)^s & \to & \overline{K}. \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & & & \\ & & K^*. & & & & \end{array}$$

Let $H = G(\overline{K}/K')$, which is the subgroup of $G(\overline{K}/K)$ which is generated by $G^i(V_{\overline{\nu}}/V_\nu)$ and $G^s(V_{\overline{\nu}}/V_{\nu^*})$. If $\sigma \in H$, we have that $\sigma : V_{\overline{\nu}} \rightarrow V_{\overline{\nu}}$. Thus $V_{\nu'} = V_{\overline{\nu}}^H$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{\overline{\nu}} & \stackrel{\sigma}{\rightarrow} & V_{\overline{\nu}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V_{\overline{\nu}}/m_{\overline{\nu}} & \stackrel{\overline{\sigma}}{\rightarrow} & V_{\overline{\nu}}/m_{\overline{\nu}} \end{array}$$

for $\sigma \in H$ where $\overline{\sigma}$ is the induced homomorphism, so H acts on $V_{\overline{\nu}}/m_{\overline{\nu}}$, and $V_{\nu'}/m_{\nu'} = (V_{\overline{\nu}}/m_{\overline{\nu}})^H$. Now $\sigma \in G^i(V_{\overline{\nu}}/V_\nu)$ implies $\overline{\sigma} : V_{\overline{\nu}}/m_{\overline{\nu}} \rightarrow V_{\overline{\nu}}/m_{\overline{\nu}}$ is the identity map (by Theorem 1.48 [4]). Thus

$$(V_{\overline{\nu}}/m_{\overline{\nu}})^H = (V_{\overline{\nu}}/m_{\overline{\nu}})^{G^s(V_{\overline{\nu}}/V_{\nu^*})} = V_{(\nu^*)^s}/m_{(\nu^*)^s} = V_{\nu^*}/m_{\nu^*}$$

by Theorems 1.47 and 1.48 [4]. Thus

$$V_{\nu'}/m_{\nu'} = V_{(\nu^*)^s}/m_{(\nu^*)^s} = V_{\nu^*}/m_{\nu^*}. \tag{37}$$

We have $\Phi_{\overline{\nu}|K'} = \Phi_\nu$ and $\Phi_{\overline{\nu}|(K^*)^s} = \Phi_{\nu^*}$ (by Theorem 23, page 71 [41] or Proposition 3.8). By the proof of Proposition 1.7, there exists a normal algebraic local ring R_2 of K which is dominated by ν and dominates $\tilde{R}$ such that if R is a normal algebraic local ring of K which dominates R_2, then letting S be the normal local ring of K^* which is dominated by ν^* and lies over R and $\overline{R}$ be the normal local ring of $\overline{K}$ which is dominated by $\overline{\nu}$ and lies over R, we have that $G^s(\overline{R}/R) = G^s(V_{\overline{\nu}}/V_\nu)$, $G^s(\overline{R}/S) = G^s(V_{\overline{\nu}}/V_{\nu^*})$,

$$\mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(S) \cong \mathrm{gr}_{\overline{\nu}}(S^s) \text{ and } \mathrm{gr}_\nu(R) \cong \mathrm{gr}_{\overline{\nu}}(R^s) \tag{38}$$

where S^s is the local ring of $(K^*)^s$ which lies over S and R^s is the local ring of K^s which lies over R. Further, $R \rightarrow R^s$ and $S \rightarrow S^s$ are unramified.

Letting R' be the normal local ring of K' which is dominated by ν' and lies over R, we may assume by Lemmas 3.5, 3.9 and 5.1 that R_2 is such that (for R which dominates R_2)

$$G^i(\overline{R}/R') = G^i(V_{\overline{\nu}}/V_{\nu'})$$

and

$$G^i(\overline{R}/S^s) = G^i(V_{\overline{\nu}}/V_{(\nu^*)^s}).$$

Thus $[V_{\overline{\nu}}/m_{\overline{\nu}} : V_{\nu'}/m_{\nu'}] = [\overline{R}/m_{\overline{R}} : R'/m_{R'}]$ and

$$[V_{\overline{\nu}}/m_{\overline{\nu}} : V_{(\nu^*)^s}/m_{(\nu^*)^s}] = [\overline{R}/m_{\overline{R}} : S^s/m_{S^s}]$$

by Theorem 1.48 [4], and so by (37),

$$[S^s/m_{S^s} : R'/m_{R'}] = [V_{(\nu^*)^s}/m_{(\nu^*)^s} : V_{\nu'}/m_{\nu'}] = 1. \tag{39}$$

We have that $\mathrm{gr}_{\nu'}(R') \cong \mathrm{gr}_\nu(R) \otimes_{R/m_R} S/m_S$ by Proposition 1.7.

By Theorem 6.1 [17] and Theorem 4.10 [17], there exists a normal algebraic local ring F_1 of K^s such that if $F \rightarrow G$ is a dominant map of regular algebraic local rings of K^s and $(K^*)^s$ respectively such that F dominates F_1 and $F \rightarrow G$ satisfies the conclusions of Theorem 7.1, then

$$|\mathrm{Det}(A)| = e = [\Phi_{\nu^*}/\Phi_\nu] \text{ and} \tag{40}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}^n/A^t\mathbb{Z}^n \cong \Phi_{\nu^*}/\Phi_\nu \tag{41}$$

by the map $(b_1, \ldots, b_n) \mapsto b_1\nu^*(y_1) + \cdots + b_n\nu^*(y_n)$, where A is the matrix of exponents of the conclusions of Theorem 7.1.

By Lemma 5.1, there exists a normal algebraic local ring R_3 of K which is dominated by ν, such that R_3 dominates R_2 and if R is a normal algebraic local ring of K which

dominates R_3 and is dominated by ν and R^s is the local ring of K^s which is dominated by $\overline{\nu}$ and lies over R, then R^s dominates F_1.

Now suppose that R_0 is an algebraic regular local ring of K which dominates R_2 and is dominated by ν, and that $R_0 \rightarrow S$ satisfies the conclusions of Theorem 7.1, where S is a local ring of K^*. We then construct a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_1 & \rightarrow & S_1 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ R_0 & \rightarrow & S \end{array}$$

where R_1 and S_1 are defined by (32). Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_1' & \rightarrow & S_1^s \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ R_0' & \rightarrow & S^s \end{array}$$

be the sequence of normal algebraic local rings in K', respectively $(K^*)^s$ lying over these rings. We have that $R_0 \rightarrow R_0'$, $R_1 \rightarrow R_1'$, $S \rightarrow S^s$ and $S_1 \rightarrow S_1^s$ are unramified by Proposition 1.7, and by (39),

$$S^s/m_{S^s} = R_0'/m_{R_0'} \text{ and } S_1^s/m_{S_1^s} = R_1'/m_{R_1'}. \tag{42}$$

Now $R_1' \rightarrow S_1^s$ is finite since S_1^s is the unique local ring of $(K^*)^s$ which lies over R_1' by Proposition 1.46 [4], as $G^s(V_{\overline{\nu}}/V_\nu) = G^s(\overline{R_1}/R_1)$ where $\overline{R_1}$ is the local ring of $\overline{K}$ which dominates R_1 and is dominated by $\overline{\nu}$. In particular, we have that S_1^s is finite over R_1', so

$$S_1^s = \sum_{\sigma\in\Lambda} (\tau_\sigma y^\sigma) R_1'$$

by (34) and (42), and $\{\tau_\sigma y^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in\Lambda}$ is a complete set of representatives of Φ_{ν^*}/Φ_ν by (40), (41) and Proposition 7.4. In particular, if $f \in S_1^s$, then we have an expression

$$f = \sum g_\sigma (\tau_\sigma y^\sigma) \tag{43}$$

with $g_\sigma \in R_1'$ and $\overline{\nu}(g_\sigma \tau_\sigma y^\sigma)$ are all distinct for the terms with $g_\sigma \neq 0$. Thus

$$\overline{\nu}(f) = \min\{\overline{\nu}(g_\sigma) + \overline{\nu}(\tau_\sigma y^\sigma) \mid \sigma \in \Lambda\}, \tag{44}$$

and the expression (43) is unique. Thus

$$S_1^s \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma\in\Lambda} R_1' \tau_\sigma y^\sigma. \tag{45}$$

Whence, since $\text{in}_{\overline{\nu}}(\tau_\sigma) \in S_1/m_{S_1} \cong R_1'/m_{R_1'}$ for all σ,

$$\text{gr}_{\nu^*}(S_1) \cong \text{gr}_{\overline{\nu}}(S_1^s) \cong \oplus_{\sigma\in\Lambda} \text{gr}_{\overline{\nu}}(R_1')\text{in}_{\overline{\nu}}(y^\sigma) \cong \oplus_{\sigma\in\Lambda} (\text{gr}_\nu(R_1) \otimes_{R_1/m_{R_1}} S_1/m_{S_1})\text{in}_{\nu^*}(y^\sigma)$$

by (38) and Proposition 1.7, establishing Theorem 1.5.

We now prove Proposition 1.6. Let notation and assumptions be as in the statement of Proposition 1.6, and continue with the notation of the proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $L = \text{QF}(R_1^s) = K^s$ and $M = \text{QF}(S_1^s) = (K^*)^s$. Since $k' \cong V_\nu/m_\nu$ is algebraically closed, $G^i(V_{\overline{\nu}}/V_{\nu^*}) = G^s(V_{\overline{\nu}}/V_{\nu^*})$ and $G^i(V_{\overline{\nu}}/V_\nu) = G^s(V_{\overline{\nu}}/V_\nu)$. Since k' has characteristic zero, the corollary on page 77 [41] implies that $G^i(V_{\overline{\nu}}/V_\nu) \cong \Phi_{\overline{\nu}}/\Phi_\nu$, which is Abelian, so $(K^*)^i$ is Galois over K^i. Now $G((K^*)^i/K^i) = G^i((K^*)^i/K^i)$, so

$$G(M/L) = G((K^*)^i/K^i) \cong \Phi_{\overline{\nu}|(K^*)^i}/\Phi_{\overline{\nu}|K^i} \cong \Phi_{\nu^*}/\Phi_\nu,$$

again by the corollary on page 77 [41] and Theorem 23, page 71 [41] or Proposition 3.8. Let $G = G(M/L)$. The ring S_1^s is the integral closure of R_1^s in M so G acts on S_1^s and $(S_1^s)^G = R_1^s$ since R_1^s is normal. Now $\overline{\nu}(\sigma(f)) = \overline{\nu}(f)$ for all $\sigma \in G$ and $f \in S_1^s$ by (3) on page 68 [41] (since $G = G^s(M/L)$) so G acts on $\mathrm{gr}_{\overline{\nu}}(S_1^s)$. We will now show that $(\mathrm{gr}_{\overline{\nu}}(S_1^s))^G = \mathrm{gr}_{\overline{\nu}}(R_1^s)$.

Suppose $u \in S_1$ and $\sigma(\mathrm{in}_{\overline{\nu}}(u)) = \mathrm{in}_{\overline{\nu}}(u)$ for all $\sigma \in G$. Then there exist $h_\sigma \in S_1^s$ such that $\sigma(u) = u + h_\sigma$ with $\overline{\nu}(h_\sigma) > \overline{\nu}(u)$ for all $\sigma \in G$, and so there exist $f_\sigma \in m_{(\nu^*)^s}$ such that $\sigma(u) = (1 + f_\sigma)u$ for all $\sigma \in G$. Let

$$y = \mathrm{Tr}_{M/L}(u) = (e + h)u$$

with $h \in m_{(\nu^*)^s}$. We have that y is an element of $L = K^s$, and $e + h$ is a unit in $V_{\overline{\nu}}$ (since k' has characteristic zero). Thus $\overline{\nu}(u) = \overline{\nu}(y) \in \Phi_\nu$. From the expression

$$u = \sum g_\sigma(\tau_\sigma y^\sigma)$$

of (43), we have that $\mathrm{in}_{\overline{\nu}}(u) = \mathrm{in}_{\overline{\nu}}(g_0) \in \mathrm{gr}_{\overline{\nu}}(R_1^s)$, completing the proof of Proposition 1.6, since $\mathrm{gr}_{\overline{\nu}}(S_1^s) \cong \mathrm{gr}_{\nu^*}(S_1)$ and $\mathrm{gr}_{\overline{\nu}}(R_1^s) \cong \mathrm{gr}_\nu(R^s)$ by equation (38), as R_1 dominates R_2.

References

[1] S. Abhyankar, On the ramification of algebraic functions, Amer. J. Math. 77 (1955), 575 - 592.

[2] S. Abhyankar, On the valuations centered in a local domain, Amer. J. Math. 78 (1956), 321 - 348.

[3] S. Abhyankar, Local uniformization of algebraic surfaces over ground fields of characteristic $p \neq 0$, Annals of Math. 63 (1956), 491 -526.

[4] S. Abhyankar, Ramification theoretic methods in algebraic geometry, Princeton Univ Press, 1959.

[5] S. Abhyankar, Resolution of singularities of embedded algebraic surfaces, second edition, Springer Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1998.

[6] A. Benito, O. Villamayor U., Techniques for the study of singularities with application to resolution of 2-dim schemes, Math. Ann. 353 (2012), 1937 - 1068.

[7] A. Bravo and O. Villamayor U., Singularities in positive characteristic, Stratification and simplification of the singular locus, Advances in Math. 224, (2010), 1349 - 1418.

[8] W. Bruns and J. Gubeladze, Polytopes, Rings and K-theory, Springer Verlag, 2009.

[9] V. Cossart, U. Jannsen and S. Saito, Canonical embedded and non-embedded resolution of singularities for excellent two-dimensional schemes, arXiv:0905.2191

[10] V. Cossart and O. Piltant, Resolution of singularities of threefolds in positive characteristic I, Reduction to local uniformization on Artin-Schreier and purely inseparable coverings, J. Algebra 320 (2008), 1051 - 1082.

[11] V. Cossart, and O. Piltant, Resolution of singularities of threefolds in positive characteristic II, J. Algebra 321 (2009), 1836 - 1976.

[12] S.D. Cutkosky, Local factorization and monomialization of morphisms, Astérisque 260, 1999.

[13] S.D. Cutkosky, A generalization of the Abhyankar Jung Theorem to associated graded rings of valuations, to appear in Proc. Cambridge Phil.Soc.

[14] S.D. Cutkosky, Ramification of valuations and local rings in positive characteristic, Communications in Algebra 44 (2016), 2828 - 2866.

[15] S.D. Cutkosky, The role of defect and splitting in finite generation of extensions of associated graded rings along a valuation, to appear in Algebra and Number Theory, arXiv:1701.01074.

[16] S.D. Cutkosky and L. Ghezzi, Completions of valuation rings, Contemp. math. 386 (2005), 13 - 34.

[17] S.D. Cutkosky and O. Piltant, Ramification of Valuations, Advances in Math. 183 (2004), 1-79.

[18] S.D. Cutkosky and Pham An Vinh, Valuation semigroups of two dimensional local rings, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society 108 (2014), 350 - 384.

[19] S.D. Cutkosky and Pham An Vinh, Ramification of local rings along valuations, Journal of pure and applied algebra 219 (21015), 2489 - 2505.
[20] O. Endler, Valuation Theory, Springer Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1972.
[21] W. Fulton, Introduction to toric varieties, Princeton Univ. Press, 1993.
[22] L. Ghezzi, Huy Tài Hà and O. Kashcheyeva, Toroidalization of generating sequences in dimension two function fields, J. Algebra 301 (2006) 838-866.
[23] L. Ghezzi and O. Kashcheyeva, Toroidalization of generating sequences in dimension two function fields of positive characteristic, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 209 (2007), 631-649.
[24] A. Grothendieck, and A. Dieudonné, Eléments de géométrie algébrique IV, vol. 2, Publ. Math. IHES 24 (1965).
[25] A. Grothendieck, Revêtements ́tales et groupe fondemental, Lecture Notes in Math. 224, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (1971).
[26] H. Hauser, On the problem of resolution of singularities in positive characteristic (or: a proof we are waiting for) Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 47 (2010), 1-30.
[27] F.J. Herrera Govantes, M.A. Olalla Acosta, M. Spivakovsky, B. Teissier, Extending valuations to formal completions, in *Valuation Theory in Interaction*, 252 - 265 EMS Ser. Congr. Rep, Eur. Math. Soc., Zurich, 2014.
[28] H. Hironaka, Three key theorems on infinitely near singularities, Singularités Franco-Japonaises, 87 - 126, Sémin. Congr. 10 Soc. Math. France, Paris 2005.
[29] H. Knaf and F.-V. Kuhlmann, Every place admits local uniformization in a finite extension of the function field, Adv. Math. 221 (2009), 428 - 453.
[30] F.-V. Kuhlmann, Valuation theoretic and model theoretic aspects of local uniformization, in Resolution of Singularities - A Research Textbook in Tribute to Oscar Zariski, H. Hauser, J. Lipman, F. Oort, A. Quiros (es.), Progress in Math. 181, Birkhäuser (2000), 4559 - 4600.
[31] F.-V. Kuhlmann, Value groups, residue fields, and bad places of algebraic function fields, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 356 (2004), 363 - 395.
[32] F.-V. Kuhlmann, A classification of Artin Schreier defect extensions and a characterization of defectless fields, Illinois J. Math. 54 (2010), 397 - 448.
[33] M. Nagata, Local rings, Interscience publishers, New York, London, 1962.
[34] J. Novacoski, M, Spivakovsky, Reduction of local uniformization to the rank 1 case, in Valuation Theory in Interaction, 404 - 431, EMS Ser. Conor. Rep, Eur Math Soc, Zurich 2014.
[35] M. Spivakovsky, Valuations in function fields of surfaces, Amer. J. Math. 112 (1990), 107 - 156.
[36] B. Teissier, Valuations, deformations and toric geometry, Valuation theory and its applications II, F.V. Kuhlmann, S. Kuhlmann and M. Marshall, editors, Fields Institute Communications 33 (2003), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 361 – 459.
[37] B. Teissier, Overweight deformations of affine toric varieties and local uniformization, in Valuation theory in interaction, Proceedings of the second international conference on valuation theory, Segovia-El Escorial, 2011. Edited by A. Campillo, F-V- Kehlmann and B. Teissier. European Math. Soc. Publishing House, Congress Reports Series, Sept. 2014, 474 - 565.
[38] M. Temkin, Inseparable local uniformization, J. Algebra 373 (2013), 65 - 119.
[39] M. Vaquié, Famille admissible de valuations et défaut d'une extension, J. algebra 311 (2007), 859 - 876.
[40] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, Commutative Algebra Volume I, Van Nostrand, 1958.
[41] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, Commutative Algebra Volume II, Van Nostrand, 1960.

Steven Dale Cutkosky, Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA
E-mail address: cutkoskys@missouri.edu